```
0001
01
             BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD
01
02
                       STATE OF CALIFORNIA
02
03
                   ALAN W. LANDSBURG, CHAIRMAN
03
04
04
05
05
06
06
07 In the Matter of:
07
08 The Regular Board Meeting of the
08 California Horse Racing Board
                                       )
09
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
                    TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
17
18
                       Del Mar, California
18
                     Thursday, July 25, 2002
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
 23
23
24
 24
 25 Reported by:
 25
 26 LAUREEN BADAR, RMR
 26 CSR No. 12047
27
 27 Job No.:
28 CHBM285
28
```

0001 01 02 03 04 05 05 06 07 08 09 09 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 16 17 18 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	BEFORE THE CALIFORNIA HORSE RACING BOARD STATE OF CALIFORNIA ALAN W. LANDSBURG, CHAIRMAN
	In the Matter of:)
	The Regular Board Meeting of the) California Horse Racing Board)
	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS, taken at the Del Mar Satellite Wagering Facility, 2260 Jimmy Durante Boulevard, Del Mar, California, commencing at 10:15 a.m., on Thursday, July 25, 2002, heard before ALAN W. LANDSBURG, Chairman, reported by LAUREEN BADAR, RMR, CSR No. 12047, a Certified Shorthand Reporter in and for the State of California.

```
0003
01 APPEARANCES:
01
02
         Chairman:
                                      Alan W. Landsburg
02
03
        Vice Chairman:
                                     Roger H. Licht
03
        Member:
                                      William A. Bianco
04
04
                                      Sheryl L. Granzella
05
         Member:
05
06
         Member:
                                      John C. Harris
06
        Member:
07
                                      John C. Sperry
07
80
        Executive Director:
                                     Roy C. Wood, Jr.
80
09
        Deputy Attorney General: Thomas A. Blake
09
10
10
11
11
12
12
13
13
14
14
15
15
16
16
17
17
18
18
19
19
20
20
21
21
22
22
23
23
24
24
25
25
26
26
27
27
28
```

0004			
01		INDEX	
01 02	AGENI	DA ITEM NUMBER:	Page
02	1102111		1 430
03 03 04	1 -	Approval of the minutes of the regular meeting of June 6, 2002.	7
04 05 05 06 06 07 07	2 -	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Bay Meadows Operating Company, LLC (T) at Bay Meadows, commencing August 30 through November 3, 2002, inclusive.	7
08 08 09 09 10 10	3 -	Discussion and Action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles County Fair (F) at Fairplex, commencing September 13 through September 29, 2002, inclusive.	30
11 12 12 13 13 14	4 -	Discussion and action by the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing LLC, (H) at Sacramento, commencing September 27, 2002, through February 23 2003, inclusive.	35
15 15 16 16 17 17	5 -	Discussion and action by the Board on the approval of the annual distribution of a portion of the unclaimed refund monies, adjusted for inflation, to the Jockeys' Guil Health and Welfare Trust, pursuant to Busine and Professions Code Section 19612.9.	.d
18 19 19 20 20	6 -	Discussion and action by the Board on the request of the Oak Tree Charitable Foundation to distribute charity racing day proceeds in the amount of \$97,000 to 47 beneficiaries.	45
21 22 22 23 23 24	7 -	Discussion and action by the Board on the request of the Pacific Racing Association to distribute charity racing day proceeds in the amount of \$68,300 to 17 beneficiaries.	46
24 25 25 26 26 27 27 28	8 -	Staff report on the following concluded races at A. Los Angeles Turf Club B. San Joaquin Fair	47
28			

```
0006
           Del Mar, California, Thursday, July 25, 2002
01
02
                             10:15 a.m.
03
04
05
        MR. WOOD: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. If
06
    everyone would please take a seat, we'd like to begin this
    morning's meeting.
07
08
             Good morning, and welcome to the regularly
09
    scheduled meeting of the California Horse Racing Board.
10
    This meeting is being conducted on Thursday, July 25th,
11
    2002. And we're at the Del Mar Satellite Wagering
12 Facility in Del Mar, California.
13
             Present at today's meeting are Chairman Alan
14 Landsburg, Vice Chairman Roger Licht, Commissioner William
15
    Bianco, Commissioner Sheryl Granzella, Commissioner John
16
    Harris, Commissioner John Sperry.
17
             Before we begin with the business part of our
18 meeting, I would respectfully request, if you give
19 testimony to this Board, that you please provide your name
 20 and your organization, before you begin to speak, for our
 21 court reporter. If you have a business card to provide
 22 her, that will be very acceptable.
23
             With that, I'd like to turn the meeting over to
 24 our chairman, Mr. Alan Landsburg.
25
        MR. LANDSBURG: Good morning, and welcome to the
 26 warmness of Del Mar and the pleasantness of racing and the
 27 knowledge that we had at Del Mar a second-to-the-largest
28 crowd ever yesterday. For those of you who were trying to
0007
01 drive in while it was underway, we join you in the sweat
02 of waiting.
03
             Opening the items for action today, we will start
04 our discussion with approval of the minutes of the regular
05
    meeting of June 6th, 2002.
06
             Are there any comments on the text of the
07
    meeting?
08
             If there are no comments, I'll entertain a motion
09
    to approve the minutes.
10
        MS. GRANZELLA: So moved.
11
        MR. LICHT: Second.
12
        MR. LANDSBURG: Moved and seconded.
13
             All in favor?
14
        MEMBERS: Aye.
15
        MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed?
16
             The minutes have been approved for the regular
17
    meeting of June 26th, 2002.
             Moving on to our second item of business,
18
19
    discussion and action by the Board on the Application for
    License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Bay
20
    Meadows Operating Company, at Bay Meadows, commencing
21
 22
    August 30th through November 3rd, 2002, inclusive --
 23
             Jackie?
 24
        MS. WAGNER: Good morning, Commissioners.
25
             Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
2.6
             The application before you is from the Bay
27 Meadows Operating Company. They are proposing to race
```

28 August 30th through November the 3rd, 49 days, which is 8000

01 two days less than they raced last year. They are proposing to race a total of 421 races, or 8.6 races per 02 03 day. They do meet the standards set in the requirement 04 for our stakes purses paid to Cal-Bred.

They will be racing five days per week, Wednesday through Sunday, with eight races Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday, and nine or ten races on Saturday, Sunday, holidays, and days of special interest.

They have a number of first post times that 10 correlate with the overlaps. They will have a 1:45 p.m. post time during the overlap with Del Mar. During the 12 overlap with Fairplex, they are proposing a 1:15 post time. During the overlap with Oak Tree, their post time 14 will be 12:45.

They have a 7:15 post time for Friday, September the 20th through November the 1st; a 3:15 post time on Friday, August 30th and September 6th; an 11:15 a.m. post 18 time on Breeders' Cup, which is Saturday, October the 26th; and they are proposing a 12:15 post time for 20 Saturday, November the 2nd, which is the date of the 21 California Cup.

We have a signed horseman's agreement. only item that is missing is the fire plan.

Staff would recommend that the Board approve the 25 application contingent upon us receiving that information.

MR. LANDSBURG: I'm not quite clear. Does it mean 27 Friday, racing every -- every racing day between 28 September 20th and November 1st, or is it Fridays?

MS. WAGNER: Fridays.

05

06

07

80

09

11

15

16

17

19

22

23

24

0009 01

02

03

06

07

08 09

10

11

12 13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. HARRIS: I thought, when we originally approved the racing dates for last year, that one of the things during the overlap was justification -- or, basically, a 05 day of overlap was the 30th, was a day they were racing evenings, which wouldn't really overlap in Sacramento. think something has been worked out by Sacramento.

MS. THURMAN: Bernie Thurman from Bay Meadows.

MR. LANDSBURG: Please step a little closer, just a little closer to the microphone.

MS. THURMAN: Right.

MR. LANDSBURG: Or pull the microphone to you.

MS. THURMAN: Okay.

We felt that running a twilight card concurrent 15 with Del Mar would increase handle in the network; and in that we are partners with Cal Expo on the off-track commissions, that it would be beneficial to all parties for us to run during the time that Del Mar was running.

MR. HARRIS: I'd rather that Sacramento be in that.

MS. THURMAN: I think all three of us are running at the same time. And we'll coordinate our post times similar to what we do on Saturday, Sunday, and Monday during the overlap.

24 In addition, we had offered to Cal Expo to make 25 them hold any out-of-the-state or on-track commissions that they would have lost due to the possibility of us

```
changing our post time.
28
        MR. HARRIS: There was some talk of adding a day, too.
0010
01 Is that part of this application?
02
        MS. THURMAN: I would -- Bay Meadows believes that it
03
    would be beneficial for both Northern and Southern
04
    California -- for us to race a concurrent race day on
    Wednesday, September 4th, with Del Mar.
05
06
        MR. HARRIS: Why didn't you apply for it then?
07
        MS. THURMAN: I believe I wrote a letter amending the
80
    application.
09
        MR. HARRIS: You feel it's an amended application?
10
        MS. THURMAN: Pardon me?
11
        MR. HARRIS: You feel the application has been
12 amended?
13
        MS. THURMAN: September 4th, which is a Wednesday.
14
              I don't think Jackie got a copy of the letter.
15
    Do you want a copy of it?
16
        MR. WOOD: Mr. Liebau submitted a letter requesting
17
    that the application be amended, which is included in your
    package, I believe, for September the 4th.
             But the actual September 4th date that they were
20
    requesting did add to this application. The amendment was
21
    not granted as an allocated race day.
        MR. HARRIS: Right.
22
23
        MS. THURMAN: Right.
2.4
        MR. HARRIS: I think one of the issues, when we looked
    at the dates last year, that we had a lot of debate -- why
    we spent -- a lot of time was spent on it was that we
 27
    tried to get away from six-day weeks in Northern
 28 California unless there's some overriding need to try to
0011
01 fill the field size. And it just seemed to me that adding
02 another day would not be prudent, where your field sizes
    are still -- even in your calculations, are only about
    seven head per race, which I think you've got to make a
    real justification for a need and support from all the
05
06
    different segments that do it.
07
        MS. THURMAN: It's our contention that Wednesday, the
80
    4th of September, is a unique day in that New York is
    dark. So California export handles out of state will
10 probably be higher than on a normal Wednesday. History
    shows that handle increases on days when two or more
    California cards are offered concurrently. So we believe
12
13
    it would be beneficial for both Northern California and
14
    Southern California. It would increase jobs, increase
15
    opportunities for horsemen to run for purses. We think
16
    it's beneficial.
17
        MR. LICHT: I think there is a major increase,
    obviously, in jobs. You'd be providing a full force that
18
    day. And I think, also, isn't that Wednesday Del Mar
19
    (inaudible)? It's an important day at Del Mar.
 2.0
21
    a typical Wednesday closing day.
22
        MR. HARRIS: This is not a closing day. This is the
 23 previous Wednesday to the closing day.
24
        MR. LICHT: Oh, okay.
```

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Wednesday after Labor Day.

MS. THURMAN: Right. 27

MR. HARRIS: We do care that the closing date -- which 28 is a concurrent date.

0012 01

03

04

05

06

11

12

14

15

17

18

19

23

26

27

MS. THURMAN: Right. September 4th would be the only 02 day that Southern California would be running without a Northern California signal.

MR. HARRIS: My concern, which I think some of the other people can state their opinions on it -- but you're coming off the fair. See, that adds six days of racing a week. And you're coming off an overlap of Sacramento. It 08 just seems like we're using up a lot of horses, that --09 I'm just concerned about the overall September program 10 detriment by running too much in the first part of September.

MR. LICHT: And NYRA is a factor that would seem to 13 affect -- that could be an important factor. I mean, I think -- correct me if you know this, Bernie.

I think -- doesn't Turf Paradise get a million 16 dollars a handle on their Tuesdays because they're the only game in town, basically, for NYRA?

MS. THURMAN: Correct. It's a shelf-space issue. the people that come to wager in an out-of-state location 20 have no NYRA to bet on, so they bet on other singles.

MR. FRAVEL: Mr. Chairman, Craig Fravel of Del Mar 22 Racetrack. I just wanted to speak in support of the September 4 date.

We've had a lot of experience with the concurrent 25 cards, and there is a significant impact on our southern zone handle and -- southern and central zone handle when there is no concurrent northern signal.

We've experienced that with Sacramento. If it's

28 0013

05

06

07

80

10

11

12

13

15

17

18 19

20

01 running an earlier post time -- and we're glad to hear that everybody is going to run concurrently with us on 03 Fridays. But there is a major impact on handle if there isn't a supporting signal from the Northern zone. So we are strongly in support of the request of Bay Meadows to run on the 4th.

MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Chairman, Don Johnson, TOC.

Commissioners, first of all, I'd like to comment 09 on Jackie's report.

We do have a signed purse agreement, but it's subject to approval of the overnight purse schedule and the State's schedule.

Mr. Liebau has been in (inaudible). He comes 14 back the 1st of August, I believe. This will be settled in one day when he gets back. And we don't -- it's not contentious at all; it's just that we have this -- we've had this overnight schedule now for the last two years. We've tried to make it consistent with Bay Meadows and Golden Gate.

But there's additional purse money which can be 21 utilized at this time, and so we want to raise those 22 overnight purses from what they are today for this 23 upcoming meet. Same with the State's schedule; there will 24 be a slight increase there. And when he gets back, that

25 will be resolved promptly. 26 But as I say, we have a signed agreement. I just 27 didn't want to mislead you; that there is this one point 28 open, but it's not a contentious point. It will be worked 0014 01 out. 02 Also, we support the September 4th racing 03 agreement (inaudible). MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you. 04 05 MR. ELLIOTT: Dave Elliott, Cal Expo. 06 I'd like to make sure -- I'm sorry, I didn't know 07 about the TOC issue with their request for an increased 08 number of overnight purses. I just want to make sure that those overnight purses don't occur during the overlap 10 period, number one. That's the first I heard of it; 11 because, obviously, by law, we're required to pay 100 12 percent of what Bay Meadows pays, which would require us 13 to do an addendum, if you will, to our condition. It 14 would just -- it would be a problem, number one. 15 Number two, I have a question. In the simulcast 16 schedule that I received via e-mail from Kay Webb -- she 17 does a great job from Bay Meadows of letting everybody 18 know what's going on with the upcoming Bay Meadows meet. 19 Over here on the right-hand side, it says "Friday 20 night card, 9/20 to 11/1." Those, I believe, are 21 inclusive of two Friday nights with Pomona -- or two 22 Fridays with Pomona. Is that incorrect? During the 23 entire Pomona meet, is Bay Meadows going to run during the 24 day concurrent with Pomona? 25 MS. THURMAN: Bernie Thurman, Bay Meadows. 26 The first Friday, we will be running concurrently in the afternoon with Pomona; the second Friday of Pomona, 27 28 we'll be running in the evening. 0015 01 MR. ELLIOTT: And then the third Friday of Pomona, Bay 02 Meadows will be running in the evening as well? 03 MS. THURMAN: Yes. The first Friday of Pomona will be 04 the only afternoon card; thereafter, we'll run Friday 05 nights. 06 MR. ELLIOTT: I would just like to bring up, so the 07 Board understands, that Bay Meadows did send us a letter. 08 And, unfortunately, Mr. Liebau obviously had business out of the country. I did not get a chance to respond to him; 09 10 however, since then I did respond. I sent a fax to 11 Mr. Liebau's office. 12 The letter that I'm hoping that the Board got 13 from Mr. Liebau -- it talks about how they will make us 14 whole. But if you read the second page of the letter, 15 there are caveats to making us whole. 16 Mr. Liebau also states in his letter that -- you 17 know, any purse money that we lose for that period, that we'll just be able to make it up from the Supplemental 18 19 Purse Fund. 20 Not true. The Supplemental Purse Fund is there. 21 We're allocated a specific amount, which for this year is 22 \$130,000. We're allocated because we're overlapped. 23 That's it. I don't get any more.

Any purse fund deficiencies that we have from 25 them running on top of us on that Friday we will have to make up. Mr. Liebau is not offering to make that up, 26 number one. He's offering to make us up whole only if we 27 28 have reduced commissions, if we don't make more 0016

01 commissions on the Bay Meadows signal at Cal Expo. just doesn't work for us.

02

03

05

06

07

80

09

10 11

12

13

14

17

18

24

26

0017

04

09

10

12

13

15

16 17

25

They're not real worried -- it's evident they're 04 not real worried about concurrent times, post times on those Fridays, because, obviously, they're running at 1:15 at Fairplex. You know, they're running at 7:00 or --7:15 or 7:00 o'clock the next two Fridays at Fairplex.

And then when Oak Tree and Santa Anita runs --Santa Anita is not God. I don't think they have lights at Santa Anita yet. They're running on Friday afternoons, and Bay Meadows is running on Friday night.

Bay Meadows has been the first one in front of this Board to basically talk about the great promotion that they do on track at Bay Meadows on Friday nights. Friday is a live promotion. They don't bet a lot of money, but there's a lot of people there. They do a great job.

We're just talking about economics here. They're 19 going to make a few extra thousand dollars by racing 20 Friday there, a few extra thousand, at our expense. And 21 it's just further intrusion of overlap into our card. We 22 have 12 days to make it. They have like 200. Magna has 23 like 285.

MR. LANDSBURG: I take it then your objection is only to the Friday night utilization.

MR. ELLIOTT: Well, it's monitoring the Racing Dates 27 Committee, Chairman Landsburg. Obviously, I'll be 28 providing more information against overlaps with dates

01 next year, but it's -- for this year, overlap is here. 02 You know, we're stuck with four days of overlap with Bay 03 Meadows.

I'm asking that the Board ask Bay Meadows to run 05 this opening Friday night, due to the Friday Nights Alive 06 opening night promotion that they've done for years -it's very important to them. I have all the documents. 08 Let them do it again this year at 7:15 instead of running with us at 3:15.

MR. TUNNEY: Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board, I'm 11 Peter Tunney, representing the 230 days of Magna Entertainment Corporation's racing ventures.

I am actually here just to address -- to use the 14 same word that Mr. Elliott did, "concurrent." There is just absolutely hours and hours of testimony in front of the Dates Committee, hours and hours of testimony in front of this Board. There's just absolutely no question about 18 the fact that if you race in Southern California, you 19 should cover those dates in Northern California.

20 It's in the best interests of the state; it's in 21 the best interests of the horsemen; it's in the best interests of the jockeys. It's just -- it's just found

23 money. Kind of going back to Commissioner Licht's 24 comments of picking up an Eastern signal, it's just found 25 money. It's in everybody's best interests at the 26 concurrent racing ordinance (inaudible). 27 Thank you. 28 MR. DOUGHERTY: Charlie Dougherty, California 0018 Thoroughbred Trainers. 01 02 Mr. Harris, we, too, share your concerns of the 03 six-day weeks. We have been very open with regards to that; however, in this case, given that it's just a 0.5 request for the one day, and based on the assumption that 06 Bay Meadows would not be requesting any other additional 07 six-day weeks, we can support giving them this day. 80 MR. ELLIOTT: Dave Elliott, Cal Expo. 09 I'd like to speak again to Mr. Tunney's comment 10 on concurrent racing. 11 For the last seven or eight weeks there is no 12 concurrent racing. Keep that in mind. They're going 7:15 13 in the evening, when Santa Anita is running during the 14 day. There is no concern about consistency of Friday 15 night post times. There is no concern about concurrent 16 racing. Their Friday night post times are all over the 17 board. They're not running with Santa Anita. That's big 18 dollars when Bay Meadows is running with Santa Anita. 19 So I'd just ask this Board to ask Bay Meadows to 20 continue to do their great Fridays Alive promotion that 21 they've done on their opening during that overlap week. 22 And for a number of years -- it's very important to them. 23 And to not cost us, it's just -- economically, it's going 24 to hurt us. It's going to hurt Cal Expo. 25 MS. THURMAN: Bernie Thurman again from Bay Meadows to 26 address the Friday post-time issue. 27 During the San Mateo fair, we're planning to run 28 a 3:15 post on Fridays to run concurrently with Del Mar. 0019 01 We wanted to show some sort of consistency, so that four 02 Fridays in a row we will be at the same post time, 3:15. 03 Then we will --MR. SPERRY: Excuse me. Can you move the microphone 04 05 closer to you? 06 MS. THURMAN: Sure. 07 We would be running 3:15 post times for four 08 Fridays in a row, stretching from San Mateo Fair through 09 the first two Fridays of the Bay Meadows meet. Horsemen 10 had not really liked us running as many Friday night cards 11 as we had in the past, so we cut three of them during the 12 spring meet. And in a consistent manner, we're trying to 13 cut three of them during the fall meet. 14 We looked at our group sales to try to pick up 15 the days where we've maximized the attendance. And that's why we picked the fourth Friday of the meet as the first 17 time to go with the evening cards. I know it looks a 18 little silly. It looks like we picked a lot of different 19 post times. But there was a method between all the

MR. HARRIS: On that September 4th date, who could

20 choices.

22 you -- all right, there must be somebody that you could 23 simulcast in. I don't know. It might be that you would 24 be open -- the Northern network would be open; it's just 25 you wouldn't be running live races. You'd be bringing in 26 Del Mar, and there must be some other tracks you could 27 bring in. 28 MS. THURMAN: Sure. I think we would handle about a 0020

01 million-one if we took Del Mar up to 23 races from other out-of-state locations. Last year, when we did race on the Wednesday after Labor Day, Bay Meadows races themselves handled 3.1 million, generating 609,000 in take-out. So we'd be leaving that on the table.

MR. HARRIS: Yeah. Well, there's always the debate that if you leave it on the table to pick it up other days -- I don't think it would be all a loss.

MS. THURMAN: Sure.

04

05

06

07

80

09 10

11

13

15

16 17

18

22

23

24

25

2.6

27

0021

05 06

07

80

09

10

11

13 14

16

MR. HARRIS: But some of them might be.

My concern is just the number of horses that you 12 have in the Northern circuit, the decline; indicating complaints from fans, who are never represented at these meetings, that the field sizes are pretty dismal. And there's so much emphasis on trifectas and superfectas, that it's tough, if you've got a bunch of six- or five-horse fields.

And you had so much racing through the summer due 19 to the fairs, I'm just concerned that your -- I mean, I 20 know that Jack is called -- Jack Liebau is kind of the 21 Will Rogers of racing days. He never met a day he didn't like.

MS. THURMAN: That's a point well taken.

MR. HARRIS: But is there any other day? I mean, do you feel there is any other date in your whole fall schedule that would be a lesser date than this date? there any other date that you can say "Give it up and take 28 this date"?

01 MS. THURMAN: Well, this is the only nonconcurrent race day in the schedule. So if you're suggesting that we, you know, pick up another day and then be

nonconcurrent on a different day, you'd end up with a four-day race week on the other choice.

And, sure, probably the Thursdays during Pomona are weaker than what that Wednesday would be in state and out of state as well. But I'd hate to go to a four-day race week given our labor situation.

And I may add then in September of last year the field size was actually higher than the average field size for the year. And I'm proud to say that with cooperation of the horsemen and a lot of work from our racing office and the year-round purse program, that the Bay Meadows field size during the spring meet did actually increase by .16 for us in spite of the workmen's comp issue.

17 MR. HARRIS: .16. That's about -- how many horses a 18 day is it?

MS. THURMAN: It went from 685 to 701 in the spring 19 20 meet.

```
21
        MR. HARRIS: That's still down there.
 22
             Go ahead.
23
        MR. DOUGHERTY: Charlie Dougherty, California
 24
    Thoroughbred Trainers.
 25
             I'd just like to join in on the Friday night
    issue. As the Board is very aware, the trainers really do
 26
    not like Friday nights. It's a grind on their help, and
 27
 28 it's a grind on the help especially the next day following
0022
01
    the races.
             So for any less days that Bay Meadows applies for
02
03 Friday nights not to be run, we support. So we would like
04 to see the less Friday nights run as possible.
05
        MR. HARRIS: Thank you.
06
        MR. LANDSBURG: And to that Bay Meadows says --
07
        MS. THURMAN: Friday nights are hard, but we feel that
08 we have to do something to promote new fans to come to our
09
    industry. And so it's a building-for-the-future process.
10
             What we've tried to do is run less of them and do
11 more special things on the Fridays that we are going to
    run Friday night cards, or man some Internet promotions,
    web sites, sort of handicapping contests, trying to get
    the younger crowd really interested in racing, try to
15
    teach them about racing.
16
        MR. LANDSBURG: But your crowd does not have the
17
    ability to bet on Southern races?
18
        MS. THURMAN: Friday nights?
19
        MR. LANDSBURG: Yes.
20
        MS. THURMAN: Correct.
        MR. LANDSBURG: And the loss there is palatable?
21
        MS. THURMAN: We actually handle more on-track on
22
 23 Friday night than we do Friday afternoon, so in that we
 24
    make more commission money on live races than we do on
 25
    simulcast races. It's pretty much a push, a push in
 26 revenue.
 27
        MR. LANDSBURG: Is there further comment on this?
28
             I think it behooves the Board to take the three
0023
01 items as separate rather than all together.
02
             Is there any further discussion now on the
03
    September 4th request?
             If there is no further, may I have a motion
05 regarding the September 4th so that we can get a consensus
06 of the Board on whether or not it will approve the
07
    September 4th date?
0.8
        MR. BIANCO: I'll make the motion, Alan, that we
09
    approve September 4th.
10
        MR. LANDSBURG: Motion has been made by Commissioner
11
    Bianco that we approve September 4th as an added day.
12
        MR. LICHT: Second.
13
        MR. LANDSBURG: Commissioner Licht has seconded.
14
             All in favor?
15
        MEMBERS:
                 Aye.
16
        MR. LANDSBURG: Raise hands, so we can see where we
17 are.
             We're 4-2. And for that --
18
        MR. HARRIS: I propose that maybe we should --
19
```

```
MR. WOOD: Maybe you should take a roll call then.
21
        MR. LANDSBURG: Commissioner Bianco?
22
        MR. WOOD: Everyone --
        MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. Commissioner Bianco has
23
24 approved. Commissioner Licht has approved. I have
25
    approved. And Commissioner Granzella has approved.
26
             All opposed?
27
             Commissioners Harris and Sperry are opposed.
28 Therefore, the date is approved for Bay Meadows.
0024
01
             And the question of --
02
             Does Friday night represent a change -- that is a
03 question to you -- from last year's schedule?
             I'm sorry. I don't have that. It is a change --
05
        MS. THURMAN: Yes.
06
        MR. LANDSBURG: -- and, therefore, subject to further
07
    discussion among the Board as to whether the three Friday
80
    nights -- the 20th, 27th of September -- sorry. The three
09 Friday nights are --
10
             Yes.
11
        MS. THURMAN: August 30th, September 6th,
12 September 13th --
13
        MR. LANDSBURG: -- September 20th, 27th.
14
             What about October?
15
        MS. THURMAN: Last year we raced all of our Friday
16 nights at night.
17
        MR. LANDSBURG: Right.
18
        MS. THURMAN: This year we're proposing to run three
19
    during the afternoon or twilight cards. The first two
20
    would be twilight cards, then a day card. The balance of
    them would be run at the same time as last year, subject
21
22
    to --
23
        MR. LANDSBURG: So the balance is six races, is
24
    that -- or seven race nights, according to this schedule
    that I have in front of me -- seven race nights on Fridays
26 on which you will have no concurrent racing with --
27
        MS. THURMAN: Correct.
28
        MR. LANDSBURG: -- Southern California.
0025
01
        MR. LICHT: Why the 13th, Bernie? Del Mar is over by
02 then, right?
        MS. THURMAN: Del Mar is over by then. We had very
04 few group sales on that Friday, so we thought it made
    sense to run concurrently with Del Mar.
05
        MR. HARRIS: It's a lucky day.
06
07
        MS. THURMAN: It's Friday the 13th.
0.8
        MR. HARRIS: I don't really have a big problem with
09
    when you run on the Fridays one way or the other. I only
    want to be clear on the Sacramento issue, how they're
    damaged, one way or the other.
11
12
             As far as pooling, you're pooling the --
13
    everything gets split anyway.
14
        MS. THURMAN: Everything generated on the off-track
15 network, 60 percent goes to Cal Expo, 40 percent to Bay
16 Meadows. So we were actually surprised at Mr. Elliott's
17 being upset about this because we thought that it would
18 generate more revenue, being open in the afternoon.
```

MR. HARRIS: Everyone keeps their on-track? 20 MS. THURMAN: Correct. 21 MR. ELLIOTT: That is correct. Everybody keeps their 22 on-track. When they're overlapped with us, the direct 23 overlap on Saturday, Sunday, and Monday, we're the third 24 man out on California. Then if you include all the out of 25 state as well, the live commissions on track for us will 26 diminish. They will go down. 27 Mr. Liebau has offered a deal -- a negotiation to 28 us, for us to negotiate for even. We're just negotiating 0026 01 even. I opposed this. The problem is we keep our own --02 for the purse money, whatever is bet on our races in 03 Northern California, that generates purse money. Last year it was 34,000 for that one Friday, okay? 05 Mr. Liebau is under the assumption that if they 06 race against us, our handle goes down, we generate less 07 purse money. He's not offering to make that up because he 0.8 feels that we can just go take it from the Supplemental 09 Purse Fund, which isn't the case, number one. 10 Number two, live on-track commissions is what we 11 keep in our pocket. Mr. Liebau is offering to make us 12 whole on those live on-track commissions, but there's caveats in the letter. It's based on comparable race 13 cards. Well, who's going to interpret the comparable race 14 15 cards? Who's going to interpret that, number one? 16 Number two, if we make more money because 17 Bay Meadows is racing on that day, with our little 18 satellite wagering commission of 2 percent to get us even 19 with our live commissions, then they're not going to make 20 us whole. 21 There's no -- we're willing to negotiate, but --22 and we sent a letter to Mr. Liebau, but we want to be made 23 whole just for that one day. Forget Del Mar commissions 24 in the north; forget Bay Meadows commissions off-track; 25 forget all of that. Just if they would -- if they would 26 come to the table and talk about that one day, they make 27 up the money that we lose in purse money to the horsemen, 28 and they make up the money that we make on commissions for 0027 01 our live on-track handle; and out of state, of course. MS. THURMAN: I think the spirit of Mr. Liebau's letter was that if in total Cal Expo was harmed, we would 03 04 make them whole. Our feeling was that the 60 percent 05 commission increase would more than compensate any loss in 06 export handle and on track. 07 Commissions on track last year, I believe, were 08 14,000; and out of state were 3,000; so that if the 09 60 percent share that went to Cal Expo was less than that 10 net of 17,000, we would make them whole. 11 MR. LANDSBURG: In the field of economics, I get 12 easily befuddled, easily lost. I know an awful lot about 13 a lot of things, but this ain't one of them. I'm going to defer to any of the Board members who feel this is an 15 equity inequity.

Anyone want further discussion?
I have no way of adjudicating it, but my

```
18 understanding is you still have a negotiation left.
19
    that correct?
20
        MR. ELLIOTT: Mr. Landsburg, as you well know, I think
21
    you're looking at the loser in any negotiation we have
22 with Bay Meadows. It's just he offered something, but
23 there are caveats. He's not going to budge off of that.
24
             We're negotiating for even. All we're
25 negotiating for is just status quo. We have no room for
26 growth. We're impacted -- bottom line, we're impacted
    when Bay Meadows overlaps our entire program on that
28 Friday. We've basically been left alone for years, for
0028
01 years. This year they're going at 3:15.
02
             Ferndale this year will get absolutely crushed
03 with the San Mateo County Fair going with a 3:15 post with
04 their (inaudible). We will get beat up on that Friday
    when they go with their 3:15 post on that Friday as well.
05
06
        MR. HARRIS: I thought Ferndale overlapped San Mateo.
07
        MR. ELLIOTT: They're going with the 3:15 post during
80
    the San Mateo County Fair, and Ferndale will absolutely
    get crushed. You can count on that.
        MR. LANDSBURG: I have to give you now the sense of
11
    the Board as to whether we should allow Bay Meadows to go
12
    all of the seven evening days in view of the comments and
13
    problem that it seems to intend for Sacramento.
14
             I will entertain a motion concerning approval or
15 nonapproval of Friday nights -- as amended, Friday nights,
16 as have now been requested.
17
             Is there anyone willing to make a motion?
18
        MR. LICHT: I move that Bay Meadows be allowed to race
    at night as requested and during the day on those first
19
20
    three days.
21
        MR. BIANCO: Seconded.
22
        MR. LANDSBURG: The motion has been made and seconded.
23
             Further discussion?
24
             In which case I would ask for a vote on the
25 motion proposed by Commissioner Licht.
26
             All in favor?
27
        MEMBERS:
                  Aye.
28
        MR. LANDSBURG:
                        I'm going to abstain, having already
0029
01 pleaded ignorance. So we have --
02
             Opposed?
             All right. So it's 5, to 1 abstention.
03
        MR. WOOD: 5 to 1?
04
05
        MR. LANDSBURG: Approved, as requested by Bay Meadows.
06
             And we hope that there will be a better
07 negotiation for you, at least a goodwill negotiation, to
80
    give them a bit more.
09
        MR. HARRIS: I think it would be good if -- you know,
10 my concern really is -- I'm not really that concerned
    about the Friday evenings as much as it's just a
12
    concentration of racing in October.
13
             If maybe in September -- if at our October
14 meeting we could get a report from Bay Meadows on how they
15 did on field sizes of those weeks and just day by day and
16 how -- what the outcome really was of some of the
```

```
17
    experiments --
18
        MS. THURMAN: Absolutely.
19
        MR. LANDSBURG: So we now approve two parts.
 20
              I'm now willing to entertain a motion to approve
21 the application as written and with the caveat that the
22
    request for a fire plan will be supplied at some point
 23 before the meeting opens.
 24
             You will have a fire agreement that will be
25 required.
             Do we have a motion?
 26
 27
        MR. SPERRY: So moved.
2.8
        MR. LANDSBURG: So moved by Commissioner Sperry, and
0030
01 seconded --
02
        MS. GRANZELLA:
                        Second.
        MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor?
03
04
        MEMBERS: Aye.
05
        MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed?
06
             The license -- Application for Bay Meadows, if I
07
    can go back to my agenda, for a License to Conduct a Horse
    Racing Meeting at the Bay Meadows -- of the Bay Meadows
    Operating Company at Bay Meadows, commencing
10 August 30th through November 3rd, inclusive, is approved.
11
             And it's approved as amended, as amended.
12
             Item 3 on the agenda, discussion and action by
13
    the Board on the Application for License to Conduct a
14 Horse Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles County Fair at
    Fairplex, commencing September 13th through
16
    September 29th of 2002, inclusive.
17
        MS. WAGNER: Jackie Wagner, CHRB staff.
             The Los Angeles County Fair has filed its
18
19
    application to conduct its race meeting at Pomona
20 Fairplex. They're proposing to race September 13th
 21
    through September the 29th, which is 17 days, the same as
 22
    they raced in 2001.
23
             They are proposing to race 204 races, eight more
 24 races than they did last year. And they will be racing 17
 25
    straight days, with 12 races per day.
 26
             They will have a 12:30 post time daily. Their
 27 wagering program will use CHRB rules. And we have been
 28 informed that they have a signed horsemen's agreement.
0031
01
             And staff would recommend to the Board approval
02
    of the application as presented.
03
        MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.
04
             Is there discussion of this? I was looking for
05
    the horsemen's agreement. I saw it, but I don't know
06
    where it is.
07
             Do you have the horsemen's agreement?
0.8
             There was a letter, TOC letter, which I am
09
    missing in all my papers over here.
10
        MR. WOOD: Don, do you have it?
11
        MR. JOHNSON: Don Johnson, Thoroughbred Owners of
12 California.
13
             We do have a signed purse agreement covering all
14 of this.
15
        MR. LANDSBURG: I know that there was a signed
```

16 agreement. I saw a copy of it. 17 One of the caveats in that, which is what I was 18 looking for, had to do with the ADW -- was it in another 19 batch, or am I missing the copy of the TOC agreement? --20 which indicated that TVG, I gather, would be attempting to 21 take out the -- ah, there is the letter. I knew I had 22 seen it. 23 Don, let me refer to the fourth paragraph of the 24 letter that I have saying that "Prior to the commencement of the 2002 Fairplex race meet, ODST shall utilize commercially reasonable efforts to effectuate the 2.7 elimination of the Oregon fee as a deduction from the 28 market fee so long as such efforts do not materially and 0032 01 adversely affect ODST's business," which I find one of the 02 most peculiar pieces of wording I've ever read. 03 I mean, can I take it out or leave it in, Don? 04 MR. JOHNSON: Pardon me? 05 MR. LANDSBURG: Do I take it out or leave it in? 06 MR. JOHNSON: I'd rather defer to Mr. Wilson Shirley, 07 my assistant. 80 MR. LANDSBURG: I think, since you're the monitor, you 09 should know some of the feelings that are going to go down 10 in here. And this has always been one of the hairpins in all of our agreements with ODST, since they are charging 11 12 the horsemen for something they have to get. 13 MR. JOHNSON: Mr. Shirley, may I have a comment on 14 that? 15 MR. SHIRLEY: (Inaudible) MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. We can't hear you. 16 17 get closer. 18 MR. SHIRLEY: Wilson Shirley for Thoroughbred Owners 19 of California. 20 We're advised that the .25 percent Oregon hub fee 21 for both TVG and YouBet has been waived for California 22 bettors by the Oregon Racing Commission. So that quarter 23 of a percent will revert to a market access fee for 24 California hosts. 25 MR. LANDSBURG: I just didn't understand why this 26 caveat was in the letter. That was all. 27 MR. SHIRLEY: Mr. Van de Kamp was urging TVG and 28 YouBet to take steps before the Oregon Racing Commission 0033 01 to have the Oregon hub tax reduced for bets placed by California residents through the hub. And TVG and YouBet 0.3 were successful in attaining that, effective the first day 0.4 of the Del Mar meet. 05 MR. HARRIS: I had one question on --06 Alan, did you want to finish up on anything else? 07 MR. LANDSBURG: No, no. 80 MR. HARRIS: This doesn't really apply to Fairplex any more than anyone else, but it seems like a lot of these 10 insurance certificates show, on workers' comp, a total 11 employers' liability limit of a million dollars per 12 occurrence. 13 I thought workers' comp was basically unlimited 14 as far as potential liability, and I didn't really quite

```
15 understand what the purpose of the million dollars was.
        MR. LANDSBURG: Is this Bay Meadows? Is there --
16
17
        MS. WAGNER: -- a representative from Fairplex?
        MR. LANDSBURG: Fairplex.
18
19
        MR. HENWOOD: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner (sic) and
20
    Commissioners. Jim Henwood, Los Angeles County Fair. And
21
    I am here with Mike Ziegler, our Chief Financial Officer.
             The question about insurance as it relates to the
22
23 application limits -- this is just part of our standard
    practices that we have done historically with the
    million-dollar limit. We don't know of any other advice
26 coming back from CHRB asking us to perhaps alter the
27 practices of workers' compensation insurance.
28
        MR. HARRIS: Well, I guess this is your policy,
0034
01 really, on your employees; but I was under the impression
    that workers' comp liability is effectively unlimited if
    you had, you know, multiple accidents or something; that
04
    there is not really a limit on workers' comp; it's
05
    whatever it is. According to the policy here, it looks
    like you're showing a million-dollar limit; but I don't
07
    think that's the way it works.
80
        MR. HENWOOD: As it relates to a per occurrence on
09 workers' comp, as far as limitations are concerned, you're
10
    probably correct.
11
        MR. HARRIS: I notice all these applications are
12 coming in that way.
13
        MR. HENWOOD: Right.
14
        MR. HARRIS: It's not really your individual problem,
15
    but it's something we need to --
        MR. HENWOOD: Take a look at.
16
17
        MR. HARRIS: -- take a look at.
18
        MR. LANDSBURG: Is there any other discussion?
19 Further questions?
20
             I'll entertain a motion to approve the
21 Application for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting
22
    at the Los Angeles County Fair at Fairplex, commencing
 23
    September 13th through September 29th, 2002, inclusive.
 24
        MR. SPERRY: So moved.
        MR. LANDSBURG: Moved by Commissioner Sperry.
 25
 26
        MR. BIANCO: Second.
27
        MR. LANDSBURG: Second by Commissioner Bianco.
28
             All in favor?
0035
01
        MEMBERS: Aye.
        MR. LANDSBURG:
02
                        Opposed?
0.3
             It's unanimous. The approval has been granted on
04
    the application to conduct the horse racing.
05
        MR. HENWOOD: Thank you. We look forward to seeing
06
    you at the next meeting at the LA County Fair.
07
        MR. LANDSBURG: We'll have to discuss that.
08
             We have approved the Application for License to
09 Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of the Los Angeles County
10 Fair September 13th through September 29th, inclusive.
             The next item on the agenda is the Application
12 for License to Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol
13 Racing in Sacramento, commencing September 27th through
```

```
14 February 23rd, 2003, inclusive.
        MS. WAGNER: Capitol Racing has filed its application
15
16
    to race from September the 27th through February the 23rd.
17
    The association is proposing to race 87 nights, with 1,110
18
    races, or 12.7 races per night.
19
              There will be racing three nights per week,
20
    Thursday through Saturday, through October the 12th; four
    nights per week, Wednesday through Saturday, through
    December the 21st; and five nights per week, Wednesday
22
23
    through Sunday, through February the 23rd.
              There will be up to 15 races per night for the
 24
 2.5
    three-night race weeks; 10 to 13 races Wednesdays,
 2.6
    Thursdays, and Sundays; and 12 through 15 races on Friday
 27
    and Saturday. They will have 12 simulcast races on nights
 28
    that they do not overlap with the quarter horse and six
0036
01 races on the overlap nights.
02
              We are just missing the fire clearance from this
0.3
    application, and staff would recommend that the Board
04
    approve the application conditioned upon us receiving it.
05
        MR. LANDSBURG: Discussion on the application?
06
        MR. LICHT: Just a general discussion that applies to
07
    all the licensees that we discussed before.
08
             Again, with Hollywood Park's assistance, we met
09
    with Racing and Gaming Services regarding protection of
10
    the California residents' wagers. And we plan on doing
11
    that -- "we" being the Pari-Mutuel Committee -- meeting
    with Holiday Beach Casino, Racing Services, Inc., and
13
    Coeur d'Alene, at least those three in the future -- near
14
    future, and to determine whether, in fact, these people
15
    are honoring their obligations under California law.
16
              And, again, Hollywood Park is going to help us as
17
    the leader in putting those together.
18
        MR. LANDSBURG: Any further comments?
19
              I entertain a motion to approve the license
20
    application of Cal Expo.
21
        MS. GRANZELLA: So moved.
        MR. LANDSBURG: Moved by Commissioner Granzella.
 22
 23
        MR. BIANCO: Second.
 24
        MR. LANDSBURG:
                        Seconded by Commissioner Bianco.
 25
             All in favor?
 26
        MEMBERS: Aye.
2.7
        MR. LANDSBURG: All opposed?
28
              We will approve the Application for License to
0037
01 Conduct a Horse Racing Meeting of Capitol Racing, LLC, at
02
    Sacramento, commencing September 27th, 2002, to
03
    February 23rd, 2003.
04
             Moving on, discussion and action by the Board on
05
    the approval of the annual distribution of a portion of
06
    the unclaimed refund monies, adjusted for inflation, to
07
    the Jockeys' Guild Health and Welfare Trust, pursuant to
80
    Business and Professions Code Section 19612.9.
09
             Mr. Reagan?
10
        MR. REAGAN: Good morning, Commissioners. John
11
    Reagan, R-e-a-g-a-n, CHRB staff.
             As indicated, this is the annual distribution for
12
```

the Jockeys' Health and Welfare. In the past we have used the CalPERS benchmark for increases for this allocation. This year CalPERS is talking a whopping 25.1 percent 15 16 increase. As we all know, health care costs are on the 17 increase again. That would be our standard approach. 18 The jockeys have also experienced that increase, 19 and more. They're asking for a 30 percent increase. The 30 percent increase would make the allocation for this 21 year 884,235. The benchmark 25.1 percent would be more in 22 the area of about 850,000. There are enough refunds to 23 cover that allocation. 2.4 And I believe there are folks from the Jockeys' 25 Guild here today which will speak to this item and the 26 exact amount of the allocation. 27 MR. HARRIS: Just a point of clarification. 28 does the money go out of these uncashed tickets besides 0038 01 this? Is there some residual that goes someplace else? 02 MR. REAGAN: Yes. Any of the refunds that are not 03 used for this purpose, after being held by the association for three years, escheat to the State of California as 05 unclaimed personal property. 06 The refunds actually are removed from the pools 07 before we go ahead and calculate all -- you know, in the 0.8 sense that the refund is a direct return of your money 09 and -- your own personal money. 10 MR. HARRIS: Is this a different category than an 11 unclaimed voucher? 12 MR. REAGAN: Yes. MR. HARRIS: I mean, if someone has a voucher they're 13 carrying around, is it a different type of fund? These 14 15 are just actual cashable tickets for cash? 16 MR. REAGAN: These are actually tickets that are 17 removed from the pool, and you are entitled to a refund of the wager. Because of a scratch or other situation, you simply are no longer in the pari-mutuel pool; and you can 20 get a refund on your ticket; which is like, say, different from a voucher, which is simply a cash item, a piece of 22 scrip that you can use to bet, or an actual winning 23 ticket, which is another category, and so on and so forth. 24 MR. HARRIS: How much a year has gone into this fund? 25 MR. REAGAN: Well, right now we generate -- we 26 generated this year just a little over a million dollars 27 in unclaimed refunds. That's separated among the various 28 tracks that have been doing business. And like, say, they 0039 01 would hold those for three -- unless we use them for this 02 purpose, they would hold those for three years and then escheat to the State of California. 04 MR. HARRIS: It seems like a lot, just -- (inaudible). 05 (Laughter) 06 MR. HARRIS: Just kidding. 07 MR. LANDSBURG: It speaks, I think, of the 08 sophistication of our bettors. They are walking around

09 losing a million dollars worth of refunds once a scratch 10 is made, but I guess we've all dug into our pockets and

11 come up with a ticket.

MR. HARRIS: That's a good way to do it, actually; 13 but --

MR. BIANCO: John, weren't we worried this year, with 14 15 the ADW, that this actual funding might, you know, go 16

MR. REAGAN: Yes, absolutely. With account wagering, 18 refunds do not exist. There are no uncashed winning tickets. Everything is immediately credited and debited 20 to the accounts and kept right up to date. So at this 21 point the refunds for this year are actually up a little 22 bit.

2.3 We'll certainly keep an eye on that in the 24 future, because -- it's like unclaimed winning tickets. 25 You know, you can kind of see what the maximums have been; 26 you can see what -- the minimums; but you can never really 27 predict the exact amount, kind of like a temperature in a 28 temperature range. Each year you have various ranges, and 0040

01 you really can never really say for sure. But right now 02 there seems to be enough to cover this, yes.

> MR. BIANCO: Thank you.

17

03

04

05

06

07

09

11

12 13

15

16

17

19 20

22

03

05

06

04

MR. LANDSBURG: Further comment?

MR. MC CARRON: Chris McCarron, representative of the Jockeys' Guild.

I was just going to make the same comment that 08 Commissioner Bianco just made that with the increased use of technology we have at our fingertips today, the dollars would probably be reduced in future years, I would think. And especially if the awareness of -- if the awareness ever got to the public there is a lot of money out there floating around that they should be capitalizing on, that would probably also contribute to the diminishing of the dollars that we receive.

MR. REAGAN: Well, you know, in that very same vein, it is surprising that -- I know several years ago -- it's 18 very surprising to me that sometimes we have, in a given year, \$5 million in unclaimed winning tickets. We did some research, and there certainly are a lot of little 21 tickets that add up.

In talking to some of the wagerers, it's just 23 interesting that somebody might have multiple wagers on 24 one ticket; and yet they're only talking about the one 25 wager they really want to win or the big wager they've 26 made.

27 Sometimes those don't come in, and they simply 28 toss the ticket without really remembering that they also 0041

01 made some backup bets on the same ticket. And we 02 certainly watch that.

But, once again, it is surprising. But as a percentage of the total handle, of course, you know, it's tenths of a percent of the entire handle.

MR. LANDSBURG: As a parallel to that, it's just 07 something we may -- I don't know how we can even fix. But 8 0 there are people who come up to the pari-mutuel machines and start running every ticket they found on the grounds 09 10 through it, looking for a pony under the straw, I guess.

11 MR. LICHT: Technologically advanced. 12 MR. REAGAN: I do need to make one additional comment. 13 In a conversation I had yesterday with the TOC, 14 who is a partner in this distribution and this welfare 15 fund, setting it up and maintaining it with the Jockeys' Guild, in their agreement, which is included in this item, 17 the TOC indicated that they would prefer that we hang this year's amount of the increase on the 25.1 percent 19 benchmark that we have used over the last several years. 20 Mr. Van de Kamp wasn't able to be here today, but 21 he asked me to indicate to you that that was his 22 preference as part of this item today. 23 MR. MC CARRON: And I would certainly ask that you 24 strongly consider the 30 percent figure that we are 25 requesting. Every single dollar that we receive goes 26 towards the health and welfare benefits that they're 27 intended for, with a small exception of some of the 28 administrative costs that are involved. 0042 01 The audit reports show that we actually could use 02 more dollars than we're getting, but we are extremely 03 grateful for the dollars that we do receive from this 04 program. 05 MR. HARRIS: Could you just as a point of 06 clarification explain how a jockey establishes eligibility 07 to participate in this? 0.8 MR. MC CARRON: In order to fit the definition of a California jockey and participate in the plan, you must 10 ride at least 100 mounts in the calendar year, 50 of which 11 have to be in the State of California. 12 MR. LANDSBURG: Is there a police mechanism in effect 13 so that -- we are all concerned -- I'm not particularly 14 pointing the finger at jockeys. 15 In the entire workmen's compensation furor that 16 we have now, one of the things we're concerned about is 17 false claims. Do you have a policing policy to be sure that the claims that are made on that fund are, in fact, 18 19 legitimate? 20 MR. MC CARRON: Yes, absolutely. It's just like any

21 health insurance policy. If there is a medical situation 22 in a family, or a single rider, you go to a doctor visit; the doctor bill gets sent to the Jockeys' Guild; and it 24 goes through the natural process of being -- the claim being administered. And that's it.

25

26 27

0043

03

05

06

07

0.8

MR. LANDSBURG: I would simply suggest that we all be aware of the fact that there is a 15 to 20 percent lag in 28 the way that most workmen's comp is paid because of people

01 who are fraudulently using it. But it can be a doctor; it can be a patient; it can be a service. 02

But I'd just ask you to reexamine, on a test-case 04 basis, some of the payments that are made just to be sure that you do have a mechanism in effect that would take care of it, that kind of thing.

MR. HARRIS: I think it's important to keep in mind that this is different than workers' comp; where the jockey may be covered by workers' comp, although there may

```
10 be some overlap where things should be paid by workers'
11
    comp and are being paid by this (inaudible).
12
        MR. LANDSBURG: I'm just pointing a finger.
    authorizing money. I'd like to be sure that that money is
13
14
    used for what it's proposed to be used for. And I think
    it behooves you to make sure that you check back and say
15
16
    "Yes, most of our claims are absolutely legitimate."
    That's all (inaudible).
17
18
        MR. MC CARRON: We'll do that.
        MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.
19
 20
             We have -- as part of this proceeding, the
2.1
    increased allocation, I think, needs to be approved before
22 we can approve the entire plan, since they've asked for
23
    approval of the 30 percent fee rather than the 25.1.
 24
        MR. WOOD: Actually, what you're actually doing is
25 approving the allocation that's submitted. The plan or
26
    the program that was set up was previously approved by the
 27
    Board. So what they're requesting for you is to approve
 28 the allocation of 30 percent (inaudible).
0044
01
        MR. LANDSBURG: Well, that's what I'm saying.
02 here that the Board approve the amount of -- the
03
    30 percent amount, which I'm now bringing to the Board for
04
    approval.
05
             Is there anyone -- is there further discussion of
06
    this approval?
07
              Is there a motion to approve or disapprove the
0.8
    30 percent allocation?
09
        MR. LICHT: I move that we approve the 30 percent
10
    allocation to the jockeys.
        MR. BIANCO: Second.
11
12
        MR. LANDSBURG: It's been moved and seconded.
13
             Any discussion further?
14
             All in favor?
15
        MEMBERS: Aye.
16
        MR. LANDSBURG:
                        Opposed?
17
              It's carried unanimously. The Board approves the
18
     30 percent allocation.
19
        MR. REAGAN: Thank you very much.
20
        MR. LANDSBURG: And, concurrently, we have to approve
21
    the -- I guess we do need a second approval since that was
    a part and parcel.
2.3
              So I recommend that we have an approval of the
24
    annual distribution of the portion of unclaimed refund
    monies, adjusted for inflation, to the Jockeys' Guild
 26 Health and Welfare Trust as amended.
 2.7
             Do we have a motion to approve?
28
        MS. GRANZELLA: So moved.
0045
01
        MR. LANDSBURG: So moved.
        MR. WOOD:
02
                   Second.
03
        MR. LANDSBURG: All in favor?
 04
        MEMBERS: Aye.
05
        MR. LANDSBURG:
                        The motion is passed.
06
        MR. MC CARRON: Thank you very much.
07
        MR. LANDSBURG: We had two different points in here.
08 Robert's Rules is not my strength.
```

```
Discussion and action by the Board on the request
10 of the Pacific Racing Association to distribute charity
   racing day proceeds in the amount of $68,300 to 17
11
12
    beneficiaries.
13
        MR. HARRIS: What about Oak Tree?
14
        MR. LANDSBURG: I'm sorry. I went right by Oak Tree.
15 Forgive me.
             Amending my agenda item, discussion and action by
16
17
    the Board on the request of the Oak Tree Charitable
18
    Foundation to distribute charity racing day proceeds in
19
    the amount of $97,000 to 47 beneficiaries.
 2.0
        MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, as indicated in the staff
 21
    analysis, approximately 53 percent of the total dollars
 22
    will go to racing-related charities. Staff recommends
    approval of this request.
24
        MR. LANDSBURG: Is there a discussion of this item?
25
              There is no discussion or questions.
 26
        MR. SPERRY: So moved, Mr. Chairman.
 27
        MR. LANDSBURG: We have a motion to approve.
 28
        MR. BIANCO: Second.
0046
01
        MR. LANDSBURG: And seconded by Commissioner Bianco.
02
             Therefore, the request of the Oak Tree Charitable
03
    Foundation to distribute charity racing day proceeds in
    the amount of $97,000 to 47 beneficiaries...
05
             Discussion and action by the Board on the request
06
    of the Pacific Racing Association now to distribute
    charity racing day proceeds in the amount of $68,300 to 17
0.8
    beneficiaries --
         MR. REAGAN: Once again, Commissioners, approximately
09
10 68 percent of these dollars are going to charities
    associated with the horse racing industry. We recommend
11
12
    approval.
13
        MR. LANDSBURG: Is there any discussion of this item?
14
             If there is no discussion, may I have a motion to
15
    approve or disapprove?
16
        MS. GRANZELLA: So moved.
        MR. LANDSBURG: It's moved to approve --
17
18
        MR. SPERRY: Seconded.
19
        MR. LANDSBURG:
                        -- by Commissioner Granzella, seconded
20 by Commissioner Sperry.
21
             All in favor?
2.2
        MEMBERS: Aye.
23
        MR. LANDSBURG: Opposed?
 24
              It's unanimously carried. The request is
 25 approved of the Pacific Racing Association to distribute
 26
    charity racing day proceeds in the amount of $68,300 to 17
 27 beneficiaries.
 28
             As a Board comment -- as a Chairman's comment, I
0047
    always feel more comfortable when most of this charitable
01
    work goes to racing-aligned charities.
0.2
03
             Staff report on the following concluded race
04
    meetings:
05
             One, Los Angeles Turf Club at Santa Anita from
06 December 26, 2001, through April 21st, 2002.
07
             B, we'll also hear of the San Joaquin Fair at
```

Stockton from June 12th through June 23rd, 2002.

14

15

16 17

18

19

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

0.3

04

05

07

80

09

11

13

15 16

17 18

19

2.1

23

25

26

27

MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, first of all, the 09 10 Los Angeles Turf Club had a good meet this year. We were certainly watching the impact of ADW. If any, we did not 11 12 see a lot there. Overall, they had an excellent meet. 13 And I'm sure they'll do a good next year.

The first fair of the season, San Joaquin Fair, was down a few percent in total handle on track and off. I don't know that we can attribute any particular cause or concern about cannibalization from ADW, but we will certainly continue to watch and monitor that.

Since this item was issued as a package, we have 20 monitored the other fairs in Northern California. They 21 have also been down a few to several percent in various areas. And at this point I don't believe that ADW has a direct impact, but there probably is some impact in there, as well as the economic situation, the overall economy, and other concerns people have about the stock markets and other such things, as well as it was a pretty good heat wave in Northern California.

So we're monitoring this. And it's difficult to 0048

01 say if a particular item has a certain impact, but we are 02 watching carefully.

MR. LICHT: I'd like to see -- and I've discussed it with a few people in the industry -- some kind of analysis on the impact of ADW on our on-track and off-track handle. And there's been some discussion about possibly having the industry get together and hire a statistician to review the data and come up with some kind of a somewhat objective analysis. And I think that that would be real 10 helpful if we could get that done.

A suggestion was made of maybe the University of 12 Arizona, that the industry might get together and fund the University of Arizona on some type of grant basis to take a look at the data because, myself -- I've looked at it. I can't come up with any kind of a theory. I've asked Mr. Reagan to look at it. He didn't have anything either. MR. REAGAN: Certainly nothing conclusive.

MR. LANDSBURG: The 5.48 percent drop in daily attendance, is that accountable to the normal attrition of gray hairs turning away to another place?

I just worry about our audience dying off; that's 22 all. That's my primary concern.

And that 5.48 figure -- while we're maintaining 24 dollar averages, we're losing probably one of the most vital parts of it. I'd say we're moving closer and closer to being television studios, and I don't think that's where racing wants to be.

28 MR. ZIEGLER: Mr. Landsburg, Mike Ziegler from Santa 0049

I can address -- the decline in on-track 02 attendance was largely attributable to a change in our 03 focus from the group sales department.

We, in the past, used to have a lot of people 05 come in as pot-luckers, who had not spent a nickel 06 betting. And we changed the policy and eliminated people

07 being allowed to bring in their own food and, therefore, 08 saw a huge decline in that aspect of our business, which 09 resulted in a 5.48 decline. 10 MR. LICHT: So you found they didn't bet or buy food; 11 they just came and used your facilities? 12 MR. ZIEGLER: They brought their own food, and they 13 didn't bet. So we changed the policy, and it hurt us on attendance. But as you see, the on-track handle didn't get hurt. We're thinking that we didn't really lose much. 15 16 MR. LANDSBURG: How does the 2.3 -- because I don't 17 have comparable statistics -- the 2.3 percent on-track 18 handle a race equate to the previous year when there was 19 no ADW? 20 MR. ZIEGLER: We were pretty successful this meet with 21 Pick 6 carryovers. And we're, frankly, gracious that 22 we've had so many of them. And that probably contributed 23 a huge amount to our on-track handle increase. 24 MR. LANDSBURG: Decrease? 25 MR. ZIEGLER: Increase. 26 MR. LANDSBURG: Well, it's only a 2.3 -- what I'm 27 reading is 2.3 -- 2.03 on-track percentage change, 28 positive. 0050 MR. ZIEGLER: That, at the same time with the decline 01 02 in attendance, we're attributing to --03 MR. LANDSBURG: -- to the same people? 04 MR. ZIEGLER: No. The fact that we had all the Pick 6 05 carryovers helped us a lot. 06 MR. LANDSBURG: Oh. MR. HARRIS: The off-track is off track at 07 08 California -- Southern California satellites. So that's a pretty -- almost a 5 percent increase there, which is 09 10 good. But you'd think that would maybe have been 11 cannibalized more by the ADW (inaudible). 12 MR. KORBY: Mr. Chairman, thank you. 13 Chris Korby, California Authority of Racing 14 Fairs. I just wanted to mention another factor that may 15 have contributed to the San Joaquin statistics. 16 As you know, the first week of the San Joaquin 17 Fair is overlapped by Bay Meadows, which makes filling 18 races a challenge. Excuse me. And it makes their handle the second week even more important. They usually go into the second week with a substantial overpayment of purses, 21 for example. And that second weekend is critically 22 important. 23 This year, on that second Saturday, we had a 24 really difficult time filling races. And I think that 25 contributed to the overall meet statistics that came out 26 at the end. 27 Going into the Friday -- their second Friday, just before that last weekend, they were actually up. 28 0051 01 the difficulty with filling races, putting together a 02 competitive card, a full field, was a contributing factor. 03 I just wanted to note that for you. MR. LANDSBURG: Well, you have the dates meeting 04 05 tomorrow. I'm sure that this will be part of your

discussion at the time. 07 MR. LICHT: Chris, any ideas about Pleasanton or 08 Vallejo yet? 09 MR. KORBY: The numbers are just coming in. 10 Pleasanton was down a bit; and, there again, there was 11 some reduction in the number of runners for the whole 12 meet. I haven't seen the final figures on that yet, but 13 we'll be getting those in soon. 14 Thank you. 15 MR. LANDSBURG: Chris, just one more comment to you 16

for holding to your guns and getting the only nonexclusive betting arrangement for ADW that exists in this state. 18 Congratulations. And thanks to the fairs, we're at least breaking through the barrier.

MR. KORBY: Thanks. Actually, we're looking forward 21 to seeing how the statistics on that prove out. Vallejo will be the first fair that has all three account wagering entities wagering on the same California meeting.

We were able to get those contracts in place 25 during the Pleasanton meet, so we don't have one full meet to look at statistics from. I'm looking forward to seeing 27 how they prove out at Vallejo.

28 MR. LICHT: It's also been well advertised at the 0052

01 fair. I mean, I saw banners for several of the ADW 02 carriers there and actually a booth for YouBet on the 03 premises at Vallejo where they were signing people up 04 right in a prime spot.

MR. KORBY: That's right. That's right. We'll make that available to any of them who wish to come out, with consent of the individual fair.

MR. HARRIS: It will be interesting to see that. And I also join Alan in congratulating you for 10 getting all three and providing us a lot of data and

11 seeing how people react (inaudible).

MR. KORBY: Thank you.

17

19

22

23

24

05 06

07

80

09

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

26

28

MR. LANDSBURG: Which leads us very naturally to our next item, which is the staff update on the Advance Deposit Wagering handle.

MR. REAGAN: Commissioners, through July 6th we were able to calculate that at that point 66.3 million had been 18 handled in California through the ADW system, representing 5.7 of the total California on-track, off-track, and ADW 20 handle to that point.

Last month we had about 5.3 percent, so we're 22 increasing now to 5.7. We continue to work with the hubs 23 and CHRIMS to make sure the data is valid and can be 24 verified. And we continue to work to extend the dates we 25 have covered.

For instance, yesterday, at Del Mar, in the 27 morning here, we had a meeting with CHRIMS controllers and the hubs. And we made a couple of decisions, I think, 0053

01 that will speed up the process whereby within the short

02 time we'll have the months of June and July verified; and

03 we'll be able to rely on those numbers more comfortable --

04 be more comfortable with those numbers at that time.

So at this point I think good progress is being 06 made in terms of maintaining the database and auditing to make sure that those numbers are verifiable. And we've 07 had good cooperation from the hubs and from CHRIMS. 8 0 09 So I'm very hopeful that within a couple of weeks 10 to a month we'll be able to have this process down to 11 pretty much like we do the regular handle now, where we'll have data reports and be able to distribute the monies weekly, and so on and so forth. 13 14 MR. LANDSBURG: Good. I'm glad to hear it. 15 I think we've been in session for a little over 16 an hour and 15 minutes. I'd like to hold, just for a 17 ten-minute break, the implementation of Advance Deposit 18 Wagering and give everybody a break. 19 (Recess) 20 MR. WOOD: Ladies and gentlemen, the meeting will 21 please come to order. Please find a seat. 22 MR. LANDSBURG: We are up to the report on the 23 implementation of Advance Deposit Wagering from the three 24 corporations who are currently engaged in this business. 25 We will start with XpressBet, Mr. Hannah, 2.6 Mr. Luniewski. 27 MR. HANNAH: Ed Hannah, Vice President, General 28 Counsel, for XpressBetting, as well as for its parent, 0054 01 Magna Entertainment Corporation. With me is Ron 02 Luniewski. Ron is President of XpressBetting. 03 I will update giving the usual form of update 04 that we give, which is a number of statistics. I'll speak 05 a little more slowly here because I noticed at previous 06 meetings that some of the people jot them down as I speak. 07 Before I get into those statistics, I just wanted 0.8 to seize upon the one comment that was made by 09 Commissioner Licht. 10 We at XpressBet, as well as Magna Entertainment 11 Corporation, are in full support of some type of an effort to attempt to determine the effect of account wagering. 12 13 We believe account wagering is implemental to handle; but, you know, to date it's all impressionistic. I think we 15 need to start an effort. 16 The database is not that large, but we have now 17 had six months of advance account wagering -- or advance account wagering in California; and we believe that hiring a statistician, possibly through the racing program at the 19 20 University of Arizona, would be a good place to start. 21 can start defining variables that we want to build into 22 some kind of objective analysis; and we're prepared to 23 fund, hopefully along with other industry members, part of 24 the cost of doing it. 25 Now, turning to the statistical update that we 26 have, the first slide that we normally have presented has been a breakdown of the wagering on the XpressBet 28 California hub by California resident and other residents. 0055

Right now, to date, 90 percent of our wagering 02 has come from California residents. 10 percent has come 03 from out-of-California residents. The total number of

04 accounts that we have in our California hub -- and all of 05 this information is as of July 23 -- is 11,058, or just 06 over 11,000 accounts. Of those accounts, 9,437 are California residents. So that's 85.3 percent of our 07 08 accounts are from California residents.

Total wagering through the California hub since 10 its establishment in January is just over \$24 million.

One of the other slides that we traditionally 12 presented has been how we have acquired the accounts or how the accounts have been opened. To date, 74 percent of our accounts have been opened through our call centers; and that basically means people are opening the accounts either through the Internet front end or through a telephone call.

16 percent were opened at our XpressBet centers 19 at Santa Anita, and 5 percent were opened at each of 20 Golden Gate and Bay Meadows.

One of the other statistics we've always updated 22 on is the tracks that the handle has been bet on. To 23 date, 47 percent of the handle is bet on the Santa Anita meet, on Santa Anita races. 9 percent has been bet on Bay 25 Meadows races. 8 percent has been bet on Golden Gate 26 Fields races, and 36 percent has been bet on races at other tracks.

28 The next slide that we traditionally updated on 0056

01 is the handle by week. As you noted from the last meeting, basically our handle per week peaked at the time of the completion of the Santa Anita meet. With it ending and the Hollywood meet beginning, we lost our premiere California product that we did not have rights over.

Our weekly handle through the California hub has stabilized at between 300- and \$400,000 per week.

One thing I do want to point out, because I have seen press articles that seize upon that number, is, just to remind all, that the numbers I'm giving are just in respect to our California hub. We have our old Call-a-Bet operation, which is our Pittsburgh hub. The combined betting at this point in time through our two hubs is 14 about \$2 million per week.

I'll now turn it over to Ron Luniewski, and Ron 16 will provide a few operational updates.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: In the last meeting we discussed the 18 significant product we were doing. In the next few weeks we'll have a web site that will be much more conducive to explain how the product works, how to use it, and explain what horse racing is to people. So we're really excited about that.

We've also installed a lot of changes in our 24 back-end systems. We recently switched our vendors that we use for funding, so you're going to see a substantial upgrade in the timeliness in the avenues of deposit and withdrawal of monies into accounts. We have made a lot of 28 progress there.

0057

09

11

13

15 16

17

18

21

27

03

04

05 06

07

80

09

10

11 12

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

23

25

27

01 On the marketing front, we did a test market --02 and I don't have all the statistics yet, but we ran a

03 Beat-the-Pro contest at the Solano County Fair. We did it 04 on Monday. We had three people come in, and we went in 05 conjunction with the Daily Racing Form, where the Daily Racing Form -- we gave advertising, and they had these 07 three celebrities, (inaudible) being one of them, sign the 80 books. And it was an effort to drive traffic to the fair 09 and then, of course, be able to promote XpressBet there.

From a topside perspective on the XpressBet account wagering side, if you compare Solano County Fair to Alameda, we were up about 70 percent, you know, if those are equal fairs. So we thought that was a lot of value added to the fair. But we're still trying to rationalize that data, and we're now planning on doing these type of promotions in the future.

MR. HANNAH: Okay. The final update that I will give 18 will be the television update.

As we have mentioned before, we had plans to launch Horse Racing TV. It has been launched as of late 21 Friday of last week. Our produced television channel out 22 of our production center at Santa Anita started. That's the good news. The bad news is at this point in time we only have distribution for that channel through the RTN 25 network, which is the private satellite service; so it doesn't have a broad distribution.

27 Comments that we have received to date have been 28 quite positive on the quality of the production that Amy 0058

01 Zimmerman and her crew are doing.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

23

26

02

0.4

05 06

07

08

09

10 11

12 13

14

17

18

19

20

22

23

24 25

26

27

28 0059

03

We do a live broadcast on HRTV seven days a week from approximately -- these are Pacific times -- 9:30 a.m. until 11:30 p.m. We're scheduled to show up to four live tracks at a time at each hour, which is six to eight races per hour. It includes post parades, odds, results, and full screen coverage of the live race.

The races that we are featuring on our channel are those obviously conducted at NBC racetracks, as well as those that have made their content available at our Advanced Bets Service. Our graphics have been designed to overlay the incoming simulcast feeds and to create a unified look and feel for HRTV.

We also have various racing vignettes that have 15 been produced by Amy and her team that appear during the programming, once again time permitting, while the racing is going on. We're primarily focused on showing the live races, as well as the post parades and other things that surround the live racing; and we're also integrating into the channel the promotion of the XpressBet service through 21 billboards and 30-second spots.

As far as a carriage update, we continue to have discussions with national cable and satellite carriers. As I've mentioned before, it's a long, drawn-out process. The process has become even more long -- or longer and even more drawn out as a result of a lot that's been happening within the media industry.

There's a merger going on between AT&T and

01 Comcast which is still subject to regulatory approval.

So, basically, both of those organizations are in a 03 standstill as far as creating new programming.

We've had the insolvency -- or the filing for 05 Chapter 11 of Adelphia; and we've just had a lot of general bad news concerning the media and the media stock, at least the media distribution and the media distribution stocks. We're still quite encouraged by several of our discussions, and we're hoping by the end of the year that 10 we'll have some announcements in that regard.

Vis-a-vis California, we are continuing to have discussions with KDOC, as well as certain distributors in 13 Northern California, about getting at least the old Santa Anita Alive program, with distribution in Southern California and Northern California and possibly even 16 longer segments of HRTV.

So that concludes our formal presentation, with 18 an update on both XpressBet as well as HRTV. I'll now throw it open to any questions.

MR. HARRIS: I had a couple questions.

On your television network, your RTN, would it be 22 possible to do that over the Internet, also, just on some web site someplace, so people -- if they didn't want to 24 subscribe to the show, they could do it through the Internet?

MR. HANNAH: Just to remind everyone, RTN is an entity in which we only own one-third. One-third is owned by 28 Philadelphia Park; and one-third is owned by Todd Roberts

01 Communications. For us to be able to do that, we would 02 need the approval of our two partners. We have not presented that to them.

MR. HARRIS: It wouldn't be quite as good as having it on TV, but it would be a lot cheaper. Isn't there like about a \$100 a month charge on the RTN?

MR. HANNAH: Yeah. RTN, I think, is 99.99 a month; so you do save a penny off \$100.

MR. HARRIS: Good deal. Is there sales tax on it?

MR. HANNAH: Just trying to add some levity.
MR. HARRIS: One of the big issues in the ADW deal is 12 credit cards. Do you have any banks that are refusing to 13 take credit cards on deposits?

MR. HANNAH: There has been the recent press 15 concerning, I guess, what Eliot Spitzer did in New York with Citibank. I don't know if we realized any impact.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: At the latest, we haven't realized any impact. But there are certain banks that will go in and 19 attempt to stop an Internet gaming transaction. And that 20 is usually an education process with that bank, and they turn it back on. Recently we have not experienced that in a major way, but it's an ongoing process.

MR. HANNAH: There is also the one bill that's before 24 the federal government that would make it illegal for credit cards to be used for illegal gambling purposes. We've been working with the American Horse Council and its lobbyists to insure the illegal gambling operations do not include legal gambling operations such as account wagering 28

27

04

06

07

8 0

11

12

14

15

17

19

20

21

25

26

27

0060

03

04

05

06

07

80 09

10 11

16 17

18

21

22 23

01 and Advance Deposit Wagering.

MR. HARRIS: Yes. I think it is important that that 03 bill be reviewed, which it appears it can be. But on the Citibank issue, I never really have found -- is there -are they or are they not? Or just no one at XpressBet is using Citibank cards? But are they turning down deposits?

MR. LUNIEWSKI: To my knowledge, Citibank is not turning down deposits for us.

MR. HARRIS: They just talked about it, but didn't do it?

MR. HANNAH: Yes. I only know what I know in the 12 press. I thought it was announced in the press that they had entered into an agreement with Eliot Spitzer in New York not to do so, but we have not felt any impact within our organization.

MR. HARRIS: One of the issues that I think might help the competitors of XpressBet is that you charge, I understand, a 3 percent fee on deposits through credit cards; and I think YouBet and TVG do not. Do you feel that that puts you at a less competitive advantage, or do you feel that's just a cost that you need?

MR. LUNIEWSKI: Commissioner Harris, I kind of glossed 23 over this. But as I mentioned, we recently have updated our funding providers; so we're able to get the cost at a cheaper service. And we're currently looking at what we 26 can do with that 3 percent.

27 Frankly, I'm considering dropping it at this 28 point.

0062 01

02

04

05

06

07

80

09

10 11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

24

26

0.2

05

06 07

08

09

10

11

13

16

17

18

19

20

24

MR. HARRIS: To me, it seems, as a consumer, that if you've got -- the competition is at zero and you're at 3, it doesn't take an economic genius to know where you're going to go.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: I agree 100 percent.

MR. LANDSBURG: Even I understood that.

MR. HANNAH: The one thing I wanted to point out though is the 3 percent is purely a cost flow-through. There is no markup or profit to the organization.

MR. HARRIS: I also, through my research, discovered that some banks will charge another surcharge on deposits into these, what they -- whatever category that they consider these; but some banks don't.

So using a Visa card -- even a B of A Visa will do it. Some other types of Visa cards don't. So it's something that's kind of a problem with consumers, to be able to understand that all of a sudden on their charge card they've got a surcharge on something they thought was a deposit.

MR. HANNAH: The main issue, just to make you aware of it, on the 3 percent, is the fact that when the credit card advance is being made, there is no signed credit card chit that's being produced; which the experience of the credit card industry has been the opportunities for fraud 25 or improper credit advance are much greater in that instance.

27 So that's why the 3 percent that we're paying, 28 basically, because we have a contract with an entity

0063

02 03

09

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

28

0.3

04

07

80 09

10

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

01 that's prepared to assume that risk. And what they charge us to assume the risk is the 3 percent.

It's just a little bit different than, you know, 04 going into a commercial business and using your credit 05 card to make a purchase, where you're actually signing in 06 person, because statistics show that that's a lot less risky from a security perspective and a fraud perspective 08 for the credit card companies.

MR. HARRIS: But the competition has the same -- your 10 problem is not different than your competition's problem. 11 It seems like you've got a lot of background on a consumer 12 in order to set up an account; you've got a lot of 13 information on him, which doesn't seem would be fraudulent (inaudible) and somebody just supporting something over the phone.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: Yeah. To that point, Mr. Harris, we are in the process of switching vendors. That will give us better economics on our side that we would plan to pass on to the consumer.

The other thing is one of the real ways to 21 mitigate all of the credit card problems and having us as an industry caught up in the offshore gaming is to move towards either electronic checks, where we can take, you 24 know, ATM transactions into wagering accounts -- and 25 you'll see us start to move in that direction, too. And 26 that is basically the quickest, best, and cheapest way for everybody involved.

MR. HANNAH: The only thing I wanted to mention from

0064 01 your earlier comment opposing the current bill -- the federal bill being opposed by the industry, is the Goodlatte Bill. The bill that I was referring to earlier is the Oxley Bill. The Oxley Bill, at this point in time, through the American Horse Council, the industry is 06 supporting because the language of it is targeted only towards credit cards not being used for illegal gambling. And the language right now would permit legal Advance Deposit Wagering to be processed through credit cards. MR. HARRIS: The Oxley Bill is more of a credit card 11 bill?

Yes. It's totally focused on credit MR. HANNAH: 13 cards.

MR. HARRIS: Lots more on Internet gambling.

MR. HANNAH: Internet gambling.

MR. LANDSBURG: In your Santa Anita signal, do you show the races that are available for betting on XpressBet? Or on your signal do you show -- do you have a concurrent signal going out on the Internet of your races? MR. HANNAH: Yes.

MR. LUNIEWSKI: Yes. Every racetrack that we offer on audio, we put three streams of audio/video up.

MR. LANDSBURG: And, also, we haven't touched base on it for a long time; but one of the regulations of ADW is 25 that interest gathered -- that you gather on accounts that 26 you are holding go to charity. And I haven't seen a 27 report on that in a long time, if any.

28 MR. HANNAH: That was raised at the last meeting, and 0065 01 we provided the information to John Reagan. 02 MR. LANDSBURG: If it is there, I'll be able to tap 03 into it. You don't need to go into it. John, I think, 04 can provide it on a regular basis. MR. LICHT: I talked to Cliff Goodrich. I don't know 05 if he left, but I talked to him on a break; and he said 06 07 he's going to promise the distributions of that are going 80 to be coming regularly shortly. 09 MR. LANDSBURG: Okay. Any other questions or comments 10 for XpressBet? 11 MR. HANNAH: Thank you. 12 MR. LANDSBURG: We thank you. 13 TVG is up next. We'll go to the wonderful Tony 14 Allevato. 15 MR. ALLEVATO: "Wonderful" might be a little strong. 16 Good morning, everybody. Tony Allevato, Vice 17 President, Executive Producer for TVG. I'm just going to 18 run through a few issues here. 19 First, talking about wagering handle, since the 20 inception, to date, the total handle by California 21 residents has been \$33.8 million. And currently 22 Californians wager 60 percent on California races and 23 40 percent on out-of-state races. 24 Now, non-California residents have wagered 25 \$4.7 million on the California tracks, which represents about 23 percent of the handle that we have on California 27 tracks. At the last meeting, reportedly, we were up to 28 11,600 account holders in California. We're up to just 0066 01 now under 14,000, so we're still showing some growth. 02 The Oregon tax issue, which has been an issue 03 that's been raised in the past -- I'm glad to announce that yesterday, beginning yesterday, the Oregon Racing 05 Commission approved the removal of the 0.25 percent tax 06 for wagers by California residents. That started 07 yesterday, opening day. Now, all wagers through TVG by California 08 09 residents will not be subject to the Oregon tax; and, 10 therefore, our hub fee is decreased from 6.25 percent to 6 percent. That residual quarter point will increase the 12 return to California racetracks and horsemen in the market 13 access fee. 14 We appreciate the support of the racetrack 15 partners, TOC, ADW committee, and John Van de Kamp in particular for helping get this change in place; and we 17 also appreciate the Oregon Racing Commission cooperating. 18 We are announcing this week that we've entered an 19 agreement with FOXSports.com which will allow video 20 streaming of our races through FOXSports.com. This is a big announcement for us. FOXSports.com is the second 22 largest sports web site, with 4 million viewers a month. 23 That will provide many good benefits to the company and 24 industry partners. 25 As part of the deal, TVG will be made available

26 to wagering subscribers both through TVGNetwork.com and

27 FOXSports.com. The video streaming is part of the new and 28 improved FOXSports.com. 0067 01 MR. LANDSBURG: Is there a membership fee for that at 02 Fox? 03 MR. ALLEVATO: No, but you have to be an account 04 holder. 05 MR. LANDSBURG: An account holder at TVG? MR. ALLEVATO: Yes. 06 07 MR. LANDSBURG: I wasn't clear. 0.8 MR. ALLEVATO: We also want to welcome the CARF tracks 09 at TVG. They became partners in late June; and we're 10 pleased to offer another California signal to our shows, 11 to TVG. 12 And at this point I'd like to talk a little bit 13 about our programming, which is something you're more 14 familiar with. 15 MR. LANDSBURG: I salute you for allowing others to 16 carry the signal as well. 17 MR. ALLEVATO: Thank you. 18 I want to talk a little bit about our Fox shows 19 that we've been doing that you, I'm sure, are aware of. 20 For the first time ever, TVG, or Racing California, was on two hours a day on Fox. And on Friday nights this 21 provided an additional 79 hours over the Hollywood Park 2.3 meet of local television coverage, which we are very, very 24 happy. And we think it's great for horse racing in 25 California. 26 For the first time ever, Friday nights were on 27 Fox. So we got to expose new fans to that, we believe. 28 And for the first time ever, Los Alamitos and quarter 0068

01 horse racing was featured on FOXSports.

02

03

05

06

07

09

11

12 13

14

15

16

18 19

20

The extent of coverage -- we also offered extended coverage for major races, something we couldn't do in the past, including Chris McCarron's final ride, which we were very happy to be a part of. We were curious to see what the response would be from viewers, because they're so used to the type of coverage and type of shows 08 that have been going on over the years.

And I wanted to talk a little bit about ratings, 10 something I'm sure Commissioner Landsburg is well familiar with. But you hear all the time about a Nielsen Rating. Nielsen is a group that provides ratings to try to measure the amount of people watching television. You'll hear a rating of 1.0, 2.0. A 1.0 represents 100,000 households in California watching TV at a given time. So that means about 100,000 people, if you had a 1.0 rating, watching. To give you a range, obviously, the Super Bowl would be like a 35 rating, which is gigantic. And any hockey will be 0.6 to 1.2.

Our ratings for the entire meet during the 21 weekdays were 0.8, which is just under 100,000. 22 represents a 33 percent increase over the Santa Anita 23 Alive program that aired prior to us coming on.

What makes that number very significant is that 2.4 25 when you're talking about television and a supporting

26 event, the longer the show, the less chance of the ratings 27 sustaining. If you do a half-hour show, especially with 28 horse racing -- we're talking about a spot where people 0069

01 will tune in specifically for the race. The fact that the shows are now two hours, over an hour, it's very significant to increase the ratings, especially in this day when our ratings continue to go down.

A couple weeks ago we did a show on Sunday 06 afternoon. They did a 1.6 rating. It was the highest rated sports show on cable that day. And what's 08 interesting was CBS also did a show from Hollywood Park that weekend that did a 1.2 rating. And CBS is available in about twice as many homes in the Los Angeles area as 11 Fox is. So we're really happy with those numbers.

One of the things we're most proud of is our coverage of the American Oaks. And I'm sure you're all aware of Hollywood Park. This American Oaks is an international event. We were approached by people at 16 Hollywood Park who said this is the first year they're running this race. We'd like to see them get TVG involved, to help create a buzz or an interest and awareness for the race.

So what we did was we started a few weeks before 21 the meet. We had Martin Panza, the Racing Secretary for 22 Hollywood Park, come in to TVG, explain what the race was, what they were trying to do. And we really tried to create a buildup leading towards that race so that people 25 knew that on July 6th something special was happening. Either they could watch it on TVG, they could go to the track, or they could go to ITW or OTB to be involved.

We had our promo department cut a special

28 0070 01

03

04

05

07

09

10 11

13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

02

03

05

07

09

10

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

23

26 27

> commercial that we ran once an hour three weeks before the event and twice an hour leading up to the event. So by the time the race rolled around, people really knew what was going on.

We did a special preview, 15-minute preview, on our Sports Center type show, that we talked about in the past. And on the day of the race we went to Hollywood 08 Park. And we were live at Hollywood Park the entire day, starting at 9:00 a.m. So our show originated from Hollywood Park.

I'd like to take this time just to show you a 12 two-minute tape to give you an idea of what we did.

(Video shown)

MR. ALLEVATO: It's kind of like the Cliffs Notes of 15 our coverage.

What's interesting about that is that -- and Rick Baedeker at Hollywood Park can talk a little more about the numbers. But that race ended up having the highest handle of any race at Hollywood Park for the entire meet. I believe they handled \$2.2 million on that race.

They had a million-dollar Pick 6 that day that we 22 promoted heavily as well. That was their highest Pick 6, 23 at 1.47 million, I believe was the total for that. It was 24 \$300,000, I think, higher than the next highest one. And

25 their total off-track handle that day ranked in the top 26 two of all the days that they had. I think it was the 27 second highest day they had for the meet. 28 We like to believe in some small way we helped 0071 01 contribute to promoting that event and creating an 02 interest for that day for a race that didn't exist up 03 until this year. 04 Yesterday was our first show from Del Mar. 05 Obviously, we don't have any ratings in yet. Yesterday's 06 show was only an hour, but from this point forward most of 07 the shows will be two hours a day and will include 80 Fridays. We're on from 4:00 to 6:00 every day, which is a 09 shift from the 3:00 to 5:00 time in the past; and on Friday nights we're on 6:00 to 8:00 or 7:00 to 9:00. If we're on 7:00 to 9:00, the last hour will be made up by 11 12 Los Alamitos and will be the first time Los Alamitos has 13 got, basically, their own show on Fox. 14 We also did a show on Sunday, the final day of 15 the Hollywood Park, which was FOXSports of Arizona. We're going to announce next week we're going to be doing some special events on Fox New York, which is another 18 five-and-a-half million homes. And on Labor Day our telecast will go into the markets I just mentioned and, 19 20 also, Fox Rocky Mountain, which I believe is around 21 3 million homes; but I don't have the exact number. 2.2 So I think that we're pretty excited. We feel that TVG is doing something that, Roger, you talked 23 about -- Commissioner Licht talked about in the past, and 25 that's helping the interest in horse racing grow rather 26 than just serving as a wagering platform. I think that's one thing about TVG that's very unique, is we are a 28 network; we are a horse racing network. 0072

Any questions?

01

02

03

05

06

07

08

09 10

11

12

13

14

16 17

18

20

21

2.2

MR. LICHT: I have a couple questions. First of all, I think your setup at Hollywood Park was tremendous that you had, with a big booth that was open all the time, with TVG feed on separate monitors. It was great. And I also think it's really good that you default the same way, I understand, that Hollywood Park defaulted to YouBet.

Del Mar's video on their web site defaults to TVG. You have to have an account to get it. I don't think you have to have any money in it, but you have to at least open an account.

MR. ALLEVATO: That's correct.

MR. LICHT: My question is -- two questions. There was a controversy with YouBet. They were video streaming their product on ESPN, and there was some kind of problem with TVG. Was that contractual, or is that something we should know about?

MR. ALLEVATO: I believe that's been resolved, but I 19 don't have the information on it. I can get that for you.

MR. LICHT: It's my information YouBet is not on the web site.

MR. ALLEVATO: That's correct.

23 MR. LICHT: That's too bad.

And the other thing is you are now broadcasting 25 on the computer, video streaming on the computer? MR. ALLEVATO: Yes. 26 27 MR. LICHT: And I thought we were told at a prior 28 meeting that that violated some of your contracts with 0073 01 various --MR. ALLEVATO: We can't video stream our entire 02 03 program. What we're video streaming is just the specific races and the post parades. So when you say "video streaming," we're not showing our announcers doing 06 commentary; you're just getting coverage from the 07 racetrack. 80 MR. LICHT: That's a change in your business plan, where you don't feel it's going to be an impediment or 10 negative impact? 11 MR. ALLEVATO: Right now we don't see that as a 12 problem because we're not offering what we're showing on 13 our network, exact programming. 14 MR. LICHT: And back to the ESPN, do you have a 15 comment of why that happened? 16 MR. ALLEVATO: I don't know all the facts for that, so 17 I'd be speculating. 18 MR. HARRIS: Is your video stream on your web site? 19 Or how do you get video streaming? 20 MR. ALLEVATO: You can either log on to FOXSports.com 21 or you can log on to TVGNetwork.com. And if you are an 22 account holder -- you don't have to be an account 23 holder -- then you can get the races. 24 MR. LICHT: Does anybody like Cathy or John Hindman --25 can they comment on the ESPN situation? MR. ALLEVATO: John is not here; he had to take off to 26 27 a prior engagement. 28 Yeah, I can get you that information. Like I 0074 01 said, I would just be speculating right now. MR. LANDSBURG: So we'll hold it open until we get it. 02 03 And we'll have a Pari-Mutuel Committee meeting --04 MR. LICHT: Right. 05 MR. LANDSBURG: -- before our next meeting. We plan to have a pari-mutuel meeting with ADW, so you can have a 07 full report for that Board. MR. ALLEVATO: Okay. 0.8 MR. HARRIS: On the credit card issue, what has your 09 10 experience been? Do you have any banks who don't take 11 credit cards? 12 MR. ALLEVATO: We have not had an issue with that, as 13 far as I know. We have the same concerns that you had addressed; but just like NBC, it hasn't become a problem yet. The other thing is you might want to ask YouBet to 15 16 address that situation. 17 MR. LICHT: I'm sure they'll be asked to. What Alan said about the pari-mutuel meeting --18 19 we want to take that opportunity to review it. It's been 20 about a year since we put forth the regulations. We want 21 to review all the regulations and make sure that anybody 22 who wants to comment or ask to amend or change any of the

```
23 regulations -- it would be a good opportunity to get their
24 comments in significantly -- or well in front of the
25 meeting so we can talk about it and also to review that
 26
    data on all the different ADW.
 27
        MR. LANDSBURG: Just for an informal notification, we
 28 may be changing the date of that meeting. We will let you
0075
01 know as soon as we have made the determination.
02
             Further comments, questions?
03
        MR. HARRIS: On these account holders, do you continue
04
    to see a trend of people maintaining their money in an
0.5
    account? Or people signed up, and do half of them bow out
06
    and they don't come back? Or what sort of -- of a number
07
    like that, how many would be betting like something every
80
    week?
09
        MR. ALLEVATO: I don't know the exact number that's
10
    out there, but I know the per capita works out to about
11
    140 -- $140 per day that people are betting.
12
        MR. HARRIS: That's quite a difference. That's of the
13
    14,000 betting?
14
        MR. ALLEVATO: Of the people who are betting.
15
        MR. HARRIS: How many people are betting?
16
        MR. ALLEVATO: I'd have to get you the exact number on
17
    that of the active accounts.
18
        MR. HARRIS: I can figure it out.
19
        MR. LANDSBURG: If there are no further comments or
20
    questions, I think we can move on to YouBet and their
    presentation.
22
        MR. BIANCO: Keep up the good work.
23
        MR. CHAMPION: Charles Champion, Chief Operating
24 Officer of YouBet.com.
 25
        MR. HASSON: Joseph Hasson, YouBet.com.
 26
        MR. CHAMPION: Good afternoon. It's nice to be back
 27 here today.
 28
             Mr. Hasson is going to give you the update on our
0076
01 progress since our last meeting, and I'm here to answer
    any of the questions that the commissioners may have.
        MR. HASSON: Since we received our license on
0.3
04 February 22nd, through last weekend, we've handled
    $14.1 million in wagers. The smallest segment of that was
06 5.21 million for California residents wagering at
07 California tracks; and then the next segment,
08 7.56 million, is for California residents wagering on
09
    out-of-state tracks. Our largest segment, which is
10
    out-of-state residents wagering on California tracks,
11
    which we believe rates as a significant benefit to the
12
    California horse racing industry, is 7.1 -- 7.89 million.
13
             We'd like to give you an update on our California
14
    Fairs project. We've attended all the fairs and have
15
    provided account wagering for all the fair meets. We've
    had good progress. We've been favorably received by the
17
    customer base, with significant sign-ups. And from what
18 we understand from the fairs, that with the simulcasting
19
    from ADW, ours has been the highest.
20
             Regarding acquisitions, I'd just like to point
21 out that prior to our license being granted, we had
```

22 approximately 5,000 California customers. Although they 23 could not wager through us, they were using our service to 24 enjoy the horse racing. Since then we've acquired an 25 additional 4,000, for a total of 9,000 California 26 accounts.

One thing that we'd like to point out that's very 28 important is that in addition to our California

0077

05

06

NΑ 09

10

11

13

17

18

21

22

23

25

0078

02

06 07

08

09

10

11

07

27

01 acquisitions, we receive a large number of acquisitions from other states. And this helps to drive the handle 03 higher in reporting additional wagerers from other states 04 into California.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.

I think there's a comment open as to the ESPN --I think we'll let Mr. Licht take the lead.

MR. LICHT: Oh, I'd just like to hear what you guys have to say about that, why you're not allowed to broadcast over ESPN.

MR. CHAMPION: We entered into a contract with 12 Winnercomm and NTRA productions, which is a subsidiary, of course, of NTRA. We negotiated the contract approximately 90 days ago and, unfortunately, ran into difficulties with 15 the NTRA in executing the contract. Apparently, NTRA 16 Productions had not informed the NTRA of that contract, its existence.

They contacted their partner, marketing partner, 19 who has an exclusive marketing partnership, which is TVG, 20 about that partnership. We were notified subsequently that we would not be bringing that up, that we also didn't have authorization to bring some of the signals up on that site that we believe we have. And, quite frankly, it 24 became quite contentious.

And we have basically looked at several avenues, 26 how to resolve the issue. We've asked for help from 27 people in the industry who have stepped up and assisted us 28 in that. We've had some conversations as late as two days

01 ago with the parties to get it resolved.

We believe now that we're very close to being 03 able to get that site up with California content, fair content, with others' content. We're hopeful that we've gotten past this difficult moment and that we can get this done. I think it was as much confusion, miscommunication, as anything else; so --

MR. LANDSBURG: We'll see you at the pari-mutuel meeting that is to come.

Any other comments or questions?

Thank you, gentlemen.

12 MR. CHAMPION: The one thing I would like to address 13 though to Commissioner Harris, if I might, which is the question around credit cards -- just to keep the record 14 15 clear and to have full disclosure, Magna XpressBet is not 16 the only company that is charging credit card fees.

17 YouBet is, in fact, now charging credit card fees. And,

18 in fact, they're not at 3 percent; they're at

19 3.98 percent. That was instituted approximately 30 days 20 ago.

I should also tell you there's not been found any 22 effect on credit card transactions from our customers as a 23 result of that; and that with some of the research that we did, we didn't anticipate there would be. 2.4

Credit cards are becoming an increasing problem for YouBet, as I believe they will be for ADW's in 27 general. CitiBank is a specific credit card we have a 28 100 percent decline on and is identified as one that -- if 0079

one of our users attempts to use it, that they're not going to be successful.

Also, as most of you may know, there are states 04 that prohibit us from funding credit card -- or funding 05 ADW's through credit cards, Massachusetts being one of them, and Maryland having a restriction on when those funds are made available once that credit card is used. So we have put into place methods by which we block those customers from using credit cards in the states and/or 10 have put holds on those funds.

The other issue that I think is important to know is that credit card companies that are using it are taking out larger reserves, six-month rolling reserves on the account. So when someone funds through a credit card account, they basically are withholding funds from us. And so that's a real problem for us, as these accounts grow to -- now we have on reserve approximately \$750,000 18 being held back through this process.

MR. HARRIS: A credit card problem is a concern, I 20 think, to the whole industry; but there are different ways to approach it. But it follows that the banks are taking it upon themselves to deem legal gambling to be unsavory. But some of the same banks that are taking credit card 24 deposits on pornographic sites are choosing not to do it 25 on this site. I think if you disguise yours as a 26 pornographic site, you would be all right.

27 But I take it the industry needs to work with the 28 banks to explain the difference between legal gambling and 0800

01 illegal gambling (inaudible).

25

26

01

02 03

07 80

09

11

12

13

15

16 17

19

21

22

23

02

0.5

07

Λ9

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

But as I understand it, you have somewhat of a 03 unique service on your site, which I think I used, where a person can basically draw on their own bank account.

MR. CHAMPION: Yes. We have -- it's express cash. 06 And it's our ACH deposit electronic check.

We're doing now over a million dollars a week in 08 ACH deposits and believe that that is going to be probably the most effective and efficient way for our customers to 10 be able to fund these accounts and for us to return the funds to them when they request them.

MR. HARRIS: There's no fees on that?

MR. CHAMPION: No, there's not.

MR. LANDSBURG: Further discussion?

MR. CHAMPION: Thank you very much.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.

We are now open for general business,

18 communications reports, requests for future action of the 19 Board.

MR. BRAVER: Hello, my name is Michael Braver; and I 21 represent a number of other professional individuals.

22

23

25

27 28

01

03

04

05

07

0.8

09

11

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28

0082

04 05

06

07

ΛR

09

10

11

12

13

14

15

26

10

I apologize -- I'm not sure how your hierarchical structure works here, so bear with me; but my 24 understanding is that my address in this case is primarily to Mr. Wood, Ms. Granzella, and Mr. Bianco. And this 26 regards the stewards' actions or lack of actions in the sunset handicap at Hollywood Park on Sunday.

Now, I'm sure that you folks have had many people 0081

complain and discuss subjective decisions that stewards 02 make about racing. And, you know, I'm, frankly, you know, intimidated by Mr. McCarron being next to me, who is, you know, one of my idols.

But the fact is that in our opinion this is not a 06 situation that's subjective. This is a blatant disregard for racing, for the public, and for the trust that people instill in you folks.

I am not alleging any conspiracy theories or corruption, but I am certainly alleging extreme incompetence. And I would like to know what you folks intend to do about the lack of actions by the stewards, without going into the details of the race, which I assume you would have run and rerun considering these are your individuals that are reporting to you.

I'd like to know specifically what you've done in terms of discussing this with them, in terms of reprimanding them, in terms of firing them, in terms of making an apology to the public. I'm not asking for any funds to be reimbursed. I understand that's an impossibility. But I'd like to get a response on that, please.

MR. LANDSBURG: Whether or not it is a subjective judgment, it is a judgment that we allow under the rules and we encourage under the rules at the moment.

We have always -- we have a number of discussions each month, in fact, about whether a rose is a rose is a rose, a foul is a foul, or not. The problem

01 that exists is that there are more points of view than you 02 are now expressing. You may be annoyed about one race; there are probably 100 races out of the thousands of races that we run in California in which an inquiry is called and in which the process of a decision is largely final.

We have continuing education and discussions and meetings with our stewards in order to maintain a rule of -- in order to maintain the rules that we have set down. One of those rules says that "Does the foul affect the outcome of the race as it is seen and voted upon by the stewards?"

That's a rule. You may not like it. I don't like it. But that is a rule that we follow. And there are rules that you will find that we don't like as individuals but, as a Board, we enforce.

The continuing education, continuing meetings 17 held by the staff, with stewards, is intended to avoid incidents in which there may be -- and I'm going to,

19 unfortunately, disagree with you that something should be 20 done about that, because we have to go over it carefully; 21 we have to measure it; we have to say why the event 22 occurred, what the stewards' ruling was.

23 But they do vote in that booth, and that vote is 24 final in terms of that race. It's not going to be 25 overturned unless somebody wants to try and spend millions of dollars in lawsuits.

27 We do have a rule -- whether that rule is right 28 or not is up for discussion at any time -- and the rule is 0083

01 that stewards must determine by vote among them whether or 02 not the foul that occurred affected the final outcome of 03 the race.

There is nothing more we can tell you about that. That's the rule. That's the decision. And we will continue to follow it until this Board determines that we want a rose is a rose is a rose, a foul is a foul is a foul. It isn't in existence, to my knowledge, anywhere in this country.

10 MR. BRAVER: If I may address that, sir, when you say 11 the final outcome --

12 MR. LANDSBURG: I don't want to go into a debate with 13 you.

MR. BRAVER: I'm not debating it. I just would like 15 to speak directly to what you just said.

You talked about the final outcome of the race. My understanding is the final outcome of the race does not 18 necessarily mean whether it would affect the winning horse but whether it would affect the placing of other horses.

MR. LANDSBURG: It absolutely does.

04

05

06

07

0.8

09

14

16

19 20

21

22

24

26

27

05 06

07

MR. BRAVER: Right. Am I correct in that?

MR. LANDSBURG: It actually affects any horse in any 23 position.

MR. BRAVER: Right. And if you believe that in the 25 case on Sunday --

MR. LANDSBURG: I do not comment on individual cases.

MR. BRAVER: I'm not asking you to.

MR. LANDSBURG: Well, you're asking me if I believe. 28 0084

01 Let's not have this as a debate between you and me. 02 given you a Board decision. I think I've considered what you're trying to say. It's not a new argument. 03 It's been 04 here ever since the rule was in effect.

MR. BRAVER: Right. And if I might just -- I won't address that point anymore. I just want to address what you won't allow me to say.

80 Clearly by your defensiveness, sir, this is a 09 bureaucracy. It's the same way your 10-minute break turned into a 35-minute break, so you could fraternize. 10 All I want to tell you is --11

12 MR. LANDSBURG: I object to your characterization. 13 would ask you to sit down. This has now become personal. 14 Please sit down.

15 MR. BRAVER: It has nothing to do with you. I will sit down. I'll just tell you that, unfortunately, because 17 of your behavior, we will pursue it in other ways we have

18 available to us, instead of addressing it professionally. 19 Thank you. 20 MR. MC CARRON: Chris McCarron representing the 21 Jockeys' Guild. 22 In light of the recent directive issued by the 23 Board with regard to the use of shock wave therapy, the 24 Jockeys' Guild would like to go on record as being opposed to the use of the machine in a racetrack setting. 26 That being said, we are very grateful that you

27 have taken the initiative to issue the directive, and we 28 view that as an attempt to control the use of the machine. 0085

01 And we're appreciative of that.

MR. LANDSBURG: And there will be a further report on that from this Board when we have some indication of what 04 has gone on at the track during the month in which the rule has come into effect. It's now two days old. must measure it. We will be able to measure it more carefully by the end of the month. And we think that we 08 have put in process a means of at least bringing it under some control.

MR. MC CARRON: Thank you.

MR. LANDSBURG: Thank you.

MR. HARRIS: I think, too, we could ask our equine 13 medical investigator to give the Board a report on any 14 research that is in place on the efficacy or damage and things that may or may not happen with the shock wave therapy.

MR. LANDSBURG: I think that will come at the end of 18 this month.

MR. HARRIS: Well, I think it's more than just what 20 happens here. I think -- I assume that this is a subject that's being researched at different places. We just need to get it all together and see what's out there.

MR. LANDSBURG: I think we have one of the best 24 indicators, based on the rules that we have so far --

MR. HARRIS: Well, all we've done so far is say you can't use it within seven days of a race.

MR. LANDSBURG: No, but I think there's much more to 28 it.

0086 01

> 03 04

05

06

07

80

09

10

12

13

16

02

03

05

06

07

09

10 11

12

15

17

19

21 22

23

25

26

27

MR. WOOD: Additional to the directive of procedures 02 that were set in place beginning the 24th of this month, with the cooperation of Del Mar and with the cooperation of the track veterinarians here at Del Mar, we are asking that the use of the equipment be conducted only in the equine hospital here on the racetrack. And I wanted to thank everybody who had participated in that situation to allow that to take place.

That gives us another area to try to monitor the use of equipment. Not only with the seven-day time that you have to wait before you can enter your horse to run, and not only the fact that this has to be operated and owned by a veterinarian, we believe this additional step 14 is going to help us to try to get a hold on what this 15 equipment does and how it's been used.

And, hopefully, California will set the stage for

```
17 the country for following the use or nonuse as it
18 continues. And we thank the Guild for their position.
19 And we thank the vets here at Del Mar, and the track, for
20 helping us implement this policy.
21
        MR. LANDSBURG: Further comments from the audience?
22
             Old business issues that were raised for
23 discussion purposes have already been brought to the
24 Board.
25
             Is there any old business we should be
26 discussing?
27
              In which case this part of the California Horse
28 Racing Board meeting is concluded. The meeting will
0087
01 continue in executive session.
02
              (Meeting concluded at 12:35 p.m.)
03
04
05
06
07
08
09
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
```